A family of p-adic isometries, fixed points, and the number three Eric S. Brussel<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract:** We study the *p*-adic interpolation  $\iota_q$  of the arithmetic function  $n \mapsto 1+q+\cdots+q^{n-1}$ , where  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . We show  $\iota_q$  has a nontrivial *p*-adic fixed point  $z_q$  if and only if p = 3,  $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ , and q is not equal to one of two 3-adic integers,  $q_0$  and  $q_1$ . Setting  $\Phi(q) = z_q$ ,  $\Phi(q_0) = 0$ , and  $\Phi(q_1) = 1$ , we obtain a homeomorphism  $\Phi: U^{(1)} - U^{(2)} \to 3\mathbb{Z}_3 \cup (1+3\mathbb{Z}_3)$ . Underlying  $\Phi$  are two isometries of the 3-adic unit disk, which we conjecture are rigid analytic.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11K41 (Primary) 11K55, 11N25, 11S25 (Secondary)

#### Introduction.

We start with an example from complex analysis. Let D be the unit disk in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . An isometry of D is a continuous, distance-preserving map from D to D. All analytic isometries of D are rotations, and preserve the complex norm. They are parameterized in a natural way by  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , with  $t \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  corresponding to the rotation  $\rho_t : z \mapsto ze^{2\pi it}$ . The quotient topology on  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  makes the isometries into a continuous family, since for all  $z \in D$ ,  $\lim_{t\to t_0} \rho_t(z) = \rho_{t_0}(z)$ . The fixed point set of this family is uninteresting, since a nontrivial rotation fixes only the origin. A more interesting set of fixed points is provided by the larger family of analytic automorphisms of D. By Schwarz's Lemma, this family is continuously parameterized by  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times D$ , with  $(t, z_0)$  corresponding to the mobius transformation  $z \mapsto e^{2\pi it} \frac{z_0 - z}{1 - \bar{z}_0 z}$ . A direct computation shows that an analytic automorphism has either one interior fixed point, or one boundary fixed point, or two boundary fixed points.

In this paper we study isometries of the p-adic unit disk  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , and their fixed points. Let p be a prime, and let  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  denote the additive group of p-adic integers. We consider a continuous family of norm-preserving isometries

$$i_q: \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$$

parameterized by the elements q of the topological group  $U^{(1)} = 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$  if p is odd, and  $U^{(2)} = 1 + 4\mathbb{Z}_2$  if p = 2. Each  $i_q$  is an interpolation of the arithmetic function on  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  given by

$$i_q(n) = 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{n-1}.$$

It is sometimes called the q-analog, or q-extension, of the identity function, and its values are q-numbers. It is proved in [C] that  $i_q$  is part of a normal basis for the space of continuous functions from  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , along with the other q-binomial coefficients. In fact,  $i_q$  is also the canonical topological generator of the group of continuous 1-cocycles  $Z^1(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ , where the  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  action on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is defined by  $z*a=q^za$ .

Our goal is to determine  $\iota_q$ 's fixed points. The reader can immediately verify that  $\iota_q(0) = 0$  and  $\iota_q(1) = 1$ ; we call these fixed points *trivial*. There exist nontrivial fixed points: if p = 3 then  $-1/2 \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ , and it is easily checked that  $\iota_4(-1/2) = -1/2$ .

Results. We prove that if  $p \neq 3$  or  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$  then  $i_q$  has no nontrivial fixed points. However, if p = 3,  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , and  $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ , then  $i_q$  has a unique nontrivial fixed point  $z_q \in \mathbb{Z}_3$  for all q, with two exceptions. The two exceptions, which we call  $q_0$  and  $q_1$ , canonically determine the "trivial" fixed points  $z_{q_0} = 0$  and  $z_{q_1} = 1$ , respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, 30322

The author was partially supported by NSA Grant Number H98230-05-1-0248.

The assignment  $q \mapsto z_q$ , taking an element q of the parameterizing space to the unique nontrivial fixed point of  $i_q$ , defines a canonical homeomorphism

$$\Phi: U^{(1)} - U^{(2)} \longrightarrow 3\mathbb{Z}_3 \cup (1 + 3\mathbb{Z}_3).$$

Even though we know  $\Phi(4) = -1/2$ , we have no closed form expression for  $\Phi(q)$ . However, we can show that underlying  $\Phi$  is a pair of *isometries*. That is, first decomposing  $U^{(1)} - U^{(2)} = (7 + 9\mathbb{Z}_3) \cup (4 + 9\mathbb{Z}_3)$ , we find  $\Phi$  takes  $7 + 9\mathbb{Z}_3$  onto  $3\mathbb{Z}_3$ , and  $4 + 9\mathbb{Z}_3$  onto  $1 + 3\mathbb{Z}_3$ . Then we prove the compositions

$$G: \mathbb{Z}_3 \xrightarrow{\sim} 7 + 9\mathbb{Z}_3 \xrightarrow{\Phi} 3\mathbb{Z}_3 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_3$$
$$F: \mathbb{Z}_3 \xrightarrow{\sim} 4 + 9\mathbb{Z}_3 \xrightarrow{\Phi} 1 + 3\mathbb{Z}_3 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_3$$

are isometries. We conjecture, after a suggestion by Tate, that these functions are rigid analytic.

In determining the p-adic fixed points we simultaneously determine the modular fixed points of the induced maps

$$[\iota_q]_{p^n}: \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$$

for various n, defined to be those elements  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $\iota_q(z) \equiv z \pmod{p^n}$ . The cocycle  $[\iota_q]_{p^n}$  comes up frequently in applications. For example, if G is a group,  $\mu_{p^n}$  is a (multiplicative) G-module of exponent  $p^n$ , f is a 1-cocycle with values in  $\mu_{p^n}$ , and  $s \in G$  acts on  $\mu_{p^n}$  as exponentiation-by-q, then  $f(s^z) = f(s)^{[\iota_q(z)]_{p^n}}$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This situation arises over finite fields  $\mathbb{F}_q$  that contain p-th roots of unity.

We easily deduce the modular fixed points in all cases except when p=3 and  $q \in U^{(1)}-U^{(2)}$ , that is, in all cases except when the isometry  $i_q$  belongs to the family of isometries that possess nontrivial p-adic fixed points. These modular fixed points exhibit a regular pattern. However, when p=3 and  $q \in U^{(1)}-U^{(2)}$ , the fixed points of  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  exhibit a remarkable, seemingly erratic pattern that turns out to be governed completely by the canonical 3-adic fixed point  $z_q = \Phi(q)$ . For example, if  $v_0$  is the exponent of the largest power of 3 to divide  $z_q$  or  $z_q - 1$ , and n is sufficiently large, then the residue of  $z_q$  modulo  $3^{n-v_0-1}$  is a fixed point for  $[i_q]_{3^n}$ .

Finally, we count the number of modular fixed points of  $[i_q]_{p^n}$  for all primes p. When  $p \neq 3$  or  $q \notin \{q_0, q_1\}$ , the number is a certain constant (which we compute) for all sufficiently large n. For p = 3 and  $q \in \{q_0, q_1\}$ , the number of fixed points for  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  grows without bound as n goes to infinity.

Isometries on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  or on locally compact connected one-dimensional abelian groups are studied in [A], [B], and [Su]. More generally, investigations into the structure of the space of continuous functions  $C(K,\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , where K is a local field, is part of p-adic analysis, and was initiated by Dieudonné in [D]. Mahler constructed an explicit basis for this space in [M]. The concept of q-numbers seem to have originated with Jackson, see [J], and has spawned an industry. In [F] Fray proved q-analogs of theorems of Legendre, Kummer, and Lucas on q-binomial coefficients. In [C] Conrad proved that the set of all q-binomial coefficients form a basis for  $C(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ .

#### 1. Background and Notation.

If G is a group and  $g \in G$ , we let o(g) denote the order of g in G. If M is a G-module, we write  $Z^1(G, M)$  for the group of 1-cocycles on G with values in M, which are functions  $f: G \to M$  satisfying the cocycle condition  $f(st) = f(t)^s + f(s)$ . If G is a topological group and M a topological G-module, we assume our 1-cocycles are continuous.

Let p be a prime, and let  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  denote the additive group of p-adic integers, with additive valuation  $v_p$ . If  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ , the group of p-adic units, let  $[q]_{p^n}$  denote the image of q in  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ .

Then  $o([q]_{p^n})$  is the (multiplicative) order of q in  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ . When q is understood we will frequently set  $o_{p^n} = o([q]_{p^n})$  to save space. There is a canonical decomposition

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} = \mu' \times U^{(1)}$$

where  $U^{(1)} = \{u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} : u \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\}$  is the group of *principal units*, and  $\mu'$  is the group of prime-to-p-order roots of unity, which is cyclic of order p-1. Let  $\mu$  denote the group of all roots of unity in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ ; then if p is odd,  $\mu = \mu'$ , and if p = 2,  $\mu = \{\pm 1\}$ . Set

$$U^{(m)} = \{ u \in \mathbb{Z}_p : u \equiv 1 \pmod{p^m} \}.$$

We summarize some standard facts about these groups ([S]).  $U^{(1)}$  is a (multiplicative) topological group, with the subspace topology. The subgroups  $U^{(m)}$  of  $U^{(1)}$  form a basis of open neighborhoods of the identity.  $U^{(1)}$  has a canonical continuous  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module structure given by  $z*q=q^z$ , where if  $z=\lim_{n\to\infty}z_n$  then  $q^z:=\lim_{n\to\infty}q^{z_n}$ . If p is odd then  $U^{(1)}$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module of rank one, i.e., a torsion-free procyclic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module. If p=2 then  $U^{(2)}$  is a torsion-free procyclic  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -module, and there is an isomorphism  $U^{(1)}\simeq\{\pm 1\}\times U^{(2)}$ , given by  $q\mapsto (1,q)$  if  $q\in U^{(2)}$ , and  $q\mapsto (-1,-q)$  if  $q\in U^{(1)}-U^{(2)}$ . In particular,  $U^{(m)}$  is procyclic if p is odd and  $m\geq 1$ , or if p=2 and  $m\geq 2$ . If m< n, the quotient  $U^{(m)}/U^{(n)}$  is represented by the set  $\{1+a_mp^m+\cdots+a_{n-1}p^{n-1}:0\leq a_i< p\}$ . In particular,  $|U^{(m)}/U^{(n)}|=p^{n-m}$ . Thus if p is odd, or if p=2 and  $m\geq 2$ ,  $U^{(m)}$  is topologically generated by the elements of  $U^{(m)}-U^{(m+1)}$ .

We will use the following theorem that goes back to Legendre. The proof is not hard ([G]). If  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  has p-adic expansion  $a = a_0 + a_1 p + \cdots + a_r p^r$ , let  $s_p(a) = a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_r$ , the sum of a's digits in base p. Then

$$v_p(a!) = \frac{a - s_p(a)}{p - 1}.$$

Using this formula it is not hard to derive the p-value of binomial coefficients ([G]): if  $b \leq a \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$v_p(\binom{a}{b}) = \frac{s_p(b) + s_p(a-b) - s_p(a)}{p-1}.$$

We will call this expression Kummer's formula. It follows immediately by Kummer's formula that  $v_p(\binom{p^n}{j}) = n - v_p(j)$ . We will also need to use the Binomial Theorem applied to p-adic integers. If  $q = 1 + X \in U^{(1)}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , then the p-adic integer  $\binom{z}{i}$  is defined as follows. If  $z = \lim_{n \to \infty} z_n$ , where  $z_n$  is the residue of  $z \pmod{p^n}$ , and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\binom{z}{i} := \lim_{n \to \infty} \binom{z_n}{i}$ . The binomial expansion takes the form

$$(1+X)^z = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} {z \choose i} X^i,$$

where we set  $\binom{z}{i} = 0$  if  $z \in \mathbb{N}$  and z < i (see, e.g., [N, Section 5]).

We set up the proper context for our investigation. Fix  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ . The map

$$\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$$
$$(m,a) \longmapsto q^m a$$

defines a nontrivial action of additive groups. Let  $i_q \in Z^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  be the canonical 1-cocycle, defined by  $i_q(1) = 1$ . It is easy to see that  $i_q$  generates  $Z^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ , though we do not need

4

this fact. The cocycle condition takes the form  $i_q(m+n) = q^m i_q(n) + i_q(m)$ . In particular,  $i_q(m) = q i_q(m-1) + 1$ , and by induction we have for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  the formula

$$i_q(m) = 1 + q + \dots + q^{m-1}.$$

For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have an induced action  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ , and a canonical cocycle  $[\iota_q]_{p^n}$  with image  $[\iota_q(m)]_{p^n} = [1+q+\cdots+q^{m-1}]_{p^n}$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . It turns out that for a proper analysis, we must replace  $\mathbb{Z}$  with a procyclic group, by first interpolating the action from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to the profinite completion  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ , and then dividing out by the kernel of the extended action. We call the resulting procyclic group  $C_q$ , and view our canonical cocycle  $\iota_q$  as an element of  $Z^1(C_q, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ . In the case of primary interest in this paper,  $C_q = \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

To derive  $C_q$ , we start with  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Every procyclic group is a quotient of  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ , hence any procyclic action on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is the factorization of a continuous homomorphism  $\varphi:\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}\to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_p)\simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** Let  $\varphi: \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$  be a continuous homomorphism, and suppose  $\varphi(1) = q$ . Let  $C_q = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}/\ker(\varphi)$  and  $o_p = o([q]_p)$ . Then

$$C_q \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } q \in \mu \\ \mathbb{Z}/o_p\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } p \text{ is odd and } q \in \mu \\ \mathbb{Z}/o_p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_p & \text{if } q \notin \mu \end{cases}$$

Proof. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have an induced map  $\varphi_n : \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}/(\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times})^n$ , whose kernel is an open subgroup  $r_n\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$  for some  $r_n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since an element of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$  is 1 if and only if its image in each  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}/(\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times})^n$  is 1,  $\ker(\varphi) = \bigcap_n \ker(\varphi_n) = \bigcap_n r_n\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Write  $q = \omega u$ , where  $\omega \in \mu$  and u belongs to the torsion-free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module  $U^{(1)}$  if p is odd,  $U^{(2)}$  if p = 2. We have  $r \in \ker(\varphi_n)$  if and only if  $\omega^r = 1$  and  $u^r = 1$  modulo  $(\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times})^n$ . If n divides n' then  $\ker(\varphi_n)$  contains  $\ker(\varphi_{n'})$ , therefore we may assume n is divisible by  $o(\omega) = e_p$ , where  $e_2 = 2$  and  $e_p = o_p$  if p is odd. Since  $U^{(1)}$  is prime-to-p divisible,  $(U^{(1)})^n = (U^{(1)})^{p^{v_p(n)}}$ . Therefore  $r_n = \ker[e_p, o([u]_{p^{v_p(n)}})]$ . If u = 1, i.e.,  $q \in \mu$ , this shows  $\ker(\varphi) = e_p\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ , hence  $C_q \simeq \mathbb{Z}/e_p\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $q \notin \mu$ , then  $o([q]_{p^{v_p(n)}})$  is a power of p that grows without bound, hence  $\ker(\varphi) = r\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ , where  $r = \lim_{n \to \infty} \ker[e_p, p^n]$ . Hence if p = 2,  $C_q \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}/o_2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ ; if p is odd,  $C_q \simeq \mathbb{Z}/o_p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ . This completes the proof.

Thus  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$  gives  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  a canonical continuous  $C_q$ -module structure,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_q \times \mathbb{Z}_p & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ (z, a) & \longmapsto & q^z a \end{array}$$

where  $q^z a := \varphi(z)(a)$ . Note  $\mathbb{Z}$  maps into  $C_q$  as  $m \mapsto [m]$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/o_p\mathbb{Z}$  if  $q \in \mu$ , and the meaning of  $q^z$  is obvious. If  $q \notin \mu$  then  $\mathbb{Z}$  embeds as  $m \mapsto ([m], m) \in \mathbb{Z}/o_p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ . To interpret  $q^z$  in this case we write  $q = \omega u$  with  $\omega \in \mu'$  and  $u \in U^{(1)}$ , and if  $z = ([a], b) \in \mathbb{Z}/o_p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ , we have  $q^z = \omega^a u^b$ .

We now replace our original function, which was defined on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , with the canonical cocycle  $\iota_q \in Z^1(C_q, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ , and identify  $C_q$  with the groups listed in Lemma 1.1. Because of the general way in which we constructed  $C_q$ , doing so does not compromise any of the function's properties. Note  $C_q = \mathbb{Z}_p$  if p is odd and  $q \in U^{(1)}$ , or if p = 2 and  $q \in U^{(2)}$ , and then we have an obvious notion of fixed point. By replacing  $\mathbb{Z}$  by  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  in this case, we have created a more natural setting in which to consider fixed points, and this proves to be a crucial step for the theory.

We will often cite the following easy observations, which we make into a lemma.

**Lemma 1.2.** For all  $z \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $i_q(0) = 0$  and  $i_q(-z) = -q^{-z}i_q(z) = -q^{-1}i_{q^{-1}}(z)$ . For all  $r, z \in C_q$ ,  $(q-1)i_q(z) = q^z - 1$  and  $i_q(rz) = i_{q^z}(r)i_q(z)$ .

*Proof.* The 1-cocycle condition yields  $\iota_q(0) = \iota_q(0+0) = \iota_q(0) + \iota_q(0)$ , so  $\iota_q(0) = 0$ . It follows that  $0 = \iota_q(z-z) = q^z \iota_q(-z) + \iota_q(z)$ , so  $\iota_q(-z) = -q^{-z} \iota_q(z)$ . If  $z \in \mathbb{N}$  then

$$q^{-z}i_q(z) = q^{-1} + \dots + q^{-z+1} + q^{-z} = q^{-1}(1 + q^{-1} + \dots + (q^{-1})^{z-1}) = q^{-1}i_{q^{-1}}(z).$$

To show  $(q-1)\iota_q(z)=q^z-1$  for all numbers  $z\in\mathbb{N}$  is elementary, and repeated application of the 1-cocycle condition proves  $\iota_q(rz)=\iota_{q^z}(r)\iota_q(z)$  for integers  $r,z\in\mathbb{N}$ . Then since  $\mathbb{N}$  is dense in  $C_q$  and the relevant functions  $\iota_q,\ q\mapsto q^z$ , multiplication, and addition are continuous, the results extend to  $C_q$ .

# **2.** Kernel of $i_q$ and $[i_q]_{p^n}$ .

We will show that  $i_q$  is injective. The kernel of  $[i_q]_{p^n}$  is an open (and closed) subset since  $[i_q]_{p^n}$  is continuous and its image is finite. It is also a subgroup: if  $z, z' \in \ker([i_q]_{p^n})$  then  $i_q(z+z') \equiv q^z i_q(z') + i_q(z) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}$ , and by Lemma 1.2,  $i_q(-z) \equiv -q^{-z} i_q(z) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}$ . The formula  $i_q(z) = i_q(z') + q^z i_q(z'-z)$  shows that  $i_q(z) \equiv i_q(z') \pmod{p^n}$  if and only if  $z-z' \in \ker([i_q]_{p^n})$ , so  $[i_q]_{p^n}$  is injective on the quotient  $\overline{C}_q := C_q/\ker(i_q)$ . To compute  $\overline{C}_q$  we need a couple of elementary results on the multiplicative order of q.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ . Set  $m_0 = v_p(q^{o_p} - 1)$ , and  $l_0 = v_2(q + 1)$ , where  $o_p = o([q]_p)$ , the multiplicative order of q modulo p.

Note  $m_0 = \infty$  if and only if p is odd and  $q \in \mu$ , and  $l_0 = \infty$ , if and only if p = 2 and  $q \in \mu$ . We identify  $p^{\infty}$  with 0. A quick check shows that if  $m_0 \neq \infty$  then  $q^{o_p} \in U^{(m_0)} - U^{(m_0+1)}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Suppose  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $m_0 \geq n$  if and only if  $o([q]_{p^n}) = o_p$ . If  $m_0 < n$  then

$$o([q]_{p^n}) = \begin{cases} o_p \cdot p^{n-m_0} & \text{if } p \text{ is odd, or if } p = 2 \text{ and } q \in U^{(2)} \\ 2^{n-l_0} & \text{if } p = 2, \ q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}, \ and \ q \not\equiv -1 (\text{mod } 2^n) \\ 2 & \text{if } p = 2 \ and \ q \equiv -1 (\text{mod } 2^n). \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have  $o([q]_{p^n}) = o_p$  if and only if  $q^{o_p} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$ , i.e.,  $m_0 \ge n$ . Suppose  $m_0 < n$ , so  $o([q]_{p^n}) > o_p \ge 1$ . If p is odd, or p = 2 and  $q \in U^{(2)}$ , then  $U^{(m_0)}/U^{(n)}$  is cyclic, generated by  $q^{o_p}$ , so  $o([q^{o_p}]_{p^n}) = |U^{(m_0)}/U^{(n)}| = p^{n-m_0}$ , therefore  $o([q]_{p^n}) = o_p \cdot p^{n-m_0}$ . If p = 2 and  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$ , then  $o([q]_{2^n}) = 2 \cdot o([q^2]_{2^n})$ , and since  $q^2 \in U^{(2)}$ , this is  $2^{n+1-v_2(q^2-1)}$  by the first case. Since  $v_2(q^2-1) = v_2(q-1) + v_2(q+1) = 1 + l_0$ ,  $o([q]_{2^n}) = 2^{n-l_0}$ . If  $q \equiv -1 \pmod{2^n}$  then obviously  $o([q]_{2^n}) = 2$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** Suppose  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ . Fix  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $\iota_q : C_q \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$  is injective, and  $[\iota_q]_{p^n} : C_q \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$  is injective if and only if  $q \in \mu$ . If  $q \notin \mu$ , then  $[\iota_q]_{p^n}$  is injective on the quotient  $\overline{C}_q$ , where

$$\overline{C}_q \simeq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} & \text{if $p$ is odd and $q \in U^{(1)}$, if $p = 2$ and $q \in U^{(2)}$, or if $q \in U^{(n)}$} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2o([q]_{2^n}) \cdot \mathbb{Z} & \text{if $p = 2$, $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$, $q \not\equiv -1 (\bmod{2^n})$, and $q \not\in U^{(n)}$} \\ \mathbb{Z}/o([q]_{p^n}) \cdot \mathbb{Z} & \text{if $q \not\in U^{(1)}$, or if $p = 2$, $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$, $q \equiv -1 (\bmod{2^n})$, and $q \not\in U^{(n)}$.} \end{array} \right.$$

*Proof.* If q = 1 then  $C_q = \{0\}$ , so  $i_q$  is injective. If  $q \neq 1$  and  $i_q(z) = 0$ , then by Lemma 1.2,  $q^z = 1$ , and since  $C_q$  acts faithfully on  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ , z = 0. Thus  $i_q$  is injective for all  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ .

For the modular case, let  $m = v_p(q-1)$ . Note that  $i_q(z) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}$  is equivalent to  $q^z - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{(q-1)p^n}$ , or, since  $(q-1)p^n\mathbb{Z}_p = p^{m+n}\mathbb{Z}_p$ , to  $q^z \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{m+n}}$ . Therefore  $i_q(z) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}$  if and only if  $z \in o([q]_{p^{m+n}}) \cdot C_q$ . Thus

$$\ker([i_q]_{p^n}) = o([q]_{p^{m+n}}) \cdot C_q.$$

If  $q \in \mu$  then  $|C_q|$  divides  $lcm[2, o_p]$ , which divides  $o([q]_{p^{m+n}})$ , so  $ker([i_q]_{p^n}) = \{0\}$ , and  $[i_q]_{p^n}$  is injective on  $C_q$ , as claimed.

If  $q \notin \mu$ , then  $C_q$  is infinite, so  $C_q \neq \overline{C}_q$ . We have already seen that  $[i_q]_{p^n}$  is injective on  $\overline{C}_q$ , so it remains to compute  $o([q]_{p^{m+n}})$  using Lemma 2.2 for the various types of q, and to put this together with the definition of  $C_q$ .

Suppose  $q \notin \mu$  and  $q \notin U^{(1)}$ . Set  $o_{p^a} = o([q]_{p^a})$ . Since  $q \notin U^{(1)}$ , m = 0, so  $o_{p^{m+n}} = o_{p^n}$ . By Lemma 1.1,  $C_q = \mathbb{Z}/o_p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Since  $o_p$  divides  $o_{p^n}$ ,  $o_{p^{m+n}}C_q$  equals  $o_{p^n}\mathbb{Z}_p$ , and since  $\gcd(o_p, p) = 1$ ,  $o_{p^n}\mathbb{Z}_p = (o_{p^n}/o_p)\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Therefore  $\overline{C}_q \simeq \mathbb{Z}/o_p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/\frac{o_{p^n}}{o_p}\mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/o_{p^n}\mathbb{Z}$ , as desired.

For the rest of the proof we have  $q \notin \mu$  and  $q \in U^{(1)}$ . By Lemma 1.1,  $C_q = \mathbb{Z}_p$ . We quickly dispense with the  $q \in U^{(n)}$  case: If  $q \in U^{(n)}$  then  $\iota_q(z) \equiv z \pmod{p^n}$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , hence  $\overline{C}_q \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ , as desired. Assume  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(n)}$ . We claim

$$o_{p^{m+n}} = \begin{cases} p^n & \text{if } p \text{ is odd and } q \in U^{(1)}, \text{ or if } p = 2 \text{ and } q \in U^{(2)} \\ 2 \cdot o_{2^n} & \text{if } p = 2, \ q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}, \text{ and } q \not\equiv -1 (\text{mod } 2^n) \\ o_{2^n} & \text{if } p = 2, \ q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}, \text{ and } q \equiv -1 (\text{mod } 2^n) \end{cases}$$

This is immediate from Lemma 2.2; we go through it for the reader's convenience. Since  $q \notin U^{(n)}$ ,  $m_0 = m < n$ , and the second part of Lemma 2.2 applies. If p is odd, or if p = 2 and  $q \in U^{(2)}$ , then by Lemma 2.2,  $o_{p^{m+n}} = p^{m+n-m} = p^n$ , as desired. Suppose p = 2 and  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$ . If  $q \not\equiv -1 \pmod{2^n}$ , then  $q \not\equiv -1 \pmod{2^{m+n}}$ , so by Lemma 2.2,  $o_{2^{m+n}} = 2^{m+n-l_0} = 2^m \cdot o_{2^n}$ . Since  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$  we have m = 1, as desired. Assume  $q \equiv -1 \pmod{2^n}$ . Clearly  $o_{2^n} = 2$  and m = 1. If  $q \equiv -1 \pmod{2^{m+n}}$  then  $o_{2^{m+n}} = 2$ , so  $o_{2^{m+n}} = o_{2^n}$ , as desired. If  $q \not\equiv -1 \pmod{2^{m+n}}$  then by Lemma 2.2,  $o_{2^{m+n}} = 2^{m+n-l_0}$ . Since  $q \equiv -1 \pmod{2^n}$  and  $q \not\equiv -1 \pmod{2^{n+1}}$ , we compute  $l_0 = n$ , and we obtain  $o_{2^{m+n}} = 2^m = 2 = o_{2^n}$ , as desired. This proves the claim.

If p is odd and  $q \in U^{(1)}$ , or if p = 2 and  $q \in U^{(2)}$ , then by the claim,  $\overline{C}_q = \mathbb{Z}_p/p^n\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ . Similarly, if p = 2,  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$ , and  $q \not\equiv -1 \pmod{2^n}$ , then  $\overline{C}_q = \mathbb{Z}/2o_{2^n}\mathbb{Z}$ , and if p = 2,  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$ , and  $q \equiv -1 \pmod{2^n}$ , then  $\overline{C}_q = \mathbb{Z}/o_{2^n}\mathbb{Z}$ . This completes the proof.

# 3. Image of $\iota_q$ .

We compute  $\iota_q(C_q)$  and  $\iota_q(C_q) \pmod{p^n}$ , and determine when  $\iota_q$  is an isometry.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ . Then  $i_q$  is surjective if and only if  $q \in U^{(1)}$  and p is odd, or  $q \in U^{(2)}$  and p = 2. Let  $q = \omega u$  be the canonical decomposition of q, where  $\omega \in \mu'$  and  $u \in U^{(1)}$ . The image of  $i_q$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is

$$i_q(C_q) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_p & \text{if $p$ is odd and $q \in U^{(1)}$, or if $p = 2$ and $q \in U^{(2)}$} \\ 2^{l_0} \mathbb{Z}_2 \cup (1 + 2^{l_0} \mathbb{Z}_2) & \text{if $p = 2$ and $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$} \\ \{\frac{\omega^i - 1}{q - 1}\}_{i = 0}^{o_p - 1} + p^{m_0} \mathbb{Z}_p & \text{if $p$ is odd and $q \notin U^{(1)}$.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose  $q \in U^{(1)}$  and p is odd, or  $q \in U^{(2)}$  and p = 2. Then  $C_q = \mathbb{Z}_p$  by Lemma 1.1, and  $\mathbb{Z}_p * q = U^{(m_0)}$ . Therefore the image of the function  $q^x - 1 : z \mapsto q^z - 1$  is  $p^{m_0}\mathbb{Z}_p = (q-1)\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Since  $\iota_q(z) = (q^z - 1)/(q-1)$ ,  $\iota_q(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

If p=2 and  $q\in U^{(1)}-U^{(2)}$ , then  $C_q=\mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $q^2\in U^{(2)}$ , and since  $v_2(q^2-1)=l_0+1$ ,  $2\mathbb{Z}_2*q=U^{(l_0+1)}$ . Therefore  $\mathbb{Z}_2*q=2\mathbb{Z}_2*q\cup (1+2\mathbb{Z}_2)*q=U^{(l_0+1)}\cup qU^{(l_0+1)}$ . Since  $q\in \mathbb{Z}_2^{\times}$ ,  $qU^{(l_0+1)}=q+2^{l_0+1}\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Therefore the image of  $q^x-1$  is  $2^{l_0+1}\mathbb{Z}_2\cup (q-1+2^{l_0+1}\mathbb{Z}_2)$ . Since q-1 is 2 times a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , we conclude the image of  $l_q$  is  $2^{l_0}\mathbb{Z}_2\cup (1+2^{l_0}\mathbb{Z}_2)$ .

If  $q \notin U^{(1)}$ , then  $C_q * q = \langle \omega \rangle \times U^{(m_0)} = \langle \omega \rangle + p^{m_0} \mathbb{Z}_p$ , so the image of  $q^x - 1$  is  $(\langle \omega \rangle - 1) + p^{m_0} \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Since q - 1 is a unit, the image of  $\iota_q$  is the set  $(\langle \omega \rangle - 1)/(q - 1) + p^{m_0} \mathbb{Z}_p$ , a finite union of additive cosets. If  $i \not\equiv j \pmod{o_p}$  then  $\omega^i \not\equiv \omega^j \pmod{p}$ , so these cosets are all distinct, and there are exactly  $o_p$  of them. Thus  $\iota_q$  is surjective if and only if  $o_p = [\mathbb{Z}_p : p^{m_0} \mathbb{Z}_p] = p^{m_0}$ , and since  $o_p$  is prime to p, this proves  $\iota_q$  is not surjective.

We need a technical lemma computing the p-value of the numbers  $i_q(z)$ . The result follows from the q-Kummer theorem proved by Fray in [F], though this is not immediately apparent due to the much greater level of generality in [F].

**Lemma 3.2.** Suppose  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} - \{1\}$  and  $z \in C_q$ . Then  $v_p(i_q(z)) = 0$  if and only if  $q \in U^{(1)}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ , or  $q \notin U^{(1)}$  and  $z \notin o_pC_q$ . If  $v_p(i_q(z)) \neq 0$ , then

$$v_p(\imath_q(z)) = \begin{cases} v_p(z') + m_0 & \text{if $p$ is odd and $q \notin U^{(1)}$} \\ v_p(z) & \text{if $p$ is odd and $q \in U^{(1)}$, or if $p = 2$ and $q \in U^{(2)}$} \\ v_2(z) + l_0 - 1 & \text{if $p = 2$ and $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$} \end{cases}$$

where  $z' \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  is given by  $z \mapsto o_p \cdot z'$ , under the canonical isomorphism  $o_p C_q \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

*Proof.* If  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} - U^{(1)}$ , then  $v_p(q^z - 1) = v_p(\imath_q(z)) + v_p(q - 1) = v_p(\imath_q(z))$ , so  $v_p(\imath_q(z)) = 0$  if and only if  $q^z \notin U^{(1)}$ , i.e.,  $z \notin o_pC_q$ . Suppose  $q \in U^{(1)} - \{1\}$ , so  $1 \le m_0 = v_p(q - 1) < \infty$ . Then  $v_p(\imath_q(z)) = 0$  if and only if  $v_p(q^z - 1) = v_p(q - 1)$ . Since  $U^{(m)}/U^{(m+1)}$  has order p for all  $m \ge 1$ , it is clear that  $v_p(q^z - 1) = v_p(q - 1)$  if and only if  $v_p(z) = 0$ , i.e.,  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ .

Assume for the rest of the proof that  $v_p(\iota_q(z)) \neq 0$ . Suppose p is odd, then  $z \in o_p \cdot C_q$ . Write  $z = o_p \cdot z'$ , with  $z' \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Since  $q \neq 1$ ,  $q^{o_p}$  generates  $U^{(m_0)}$  topologically, so  $v_p(q^z - 1) = v_p((q^{o_p})^{z'} - 1) = v_p(z') + m_0$ , and  $v_p(\iota_q(z)) = v_p(z') + m_0 - v_p(q - 1)$ . If  $q \in U^{(1)}$  then  $m_0 - v_p(q - 1) = 0$  and  $v_p(z') = v_p(z)$ , so  $v_p(\iota_q(z)) = v_p(z)$ , as desired. If  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} - U^{(1)}$ , then  $v_p(q - 1) = 0$ , so  $v_p(\iota_q(z)) = v_p(z') + m_0$ , as desired.

Suppose p=2, then  $z \in 2\mathbb{Z}_2$ , and we can write z=2z', with  $z' \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Since  $q \in U^{(1)}$  we have  $q^2 \in U^{(2)}$ , and  $q^2$  generates  $U^{(m_0+l_0)}$  topologically. Thus  $v_2(q^z-1)=v_2(z')+l_0+m_0$ , so  $v_2(\iota_q(z))=v_2(z')+l_0=v_2(z)+l_0-1$ . This completes the proof.

**Corollary 3.3.** Suppose  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ . Then  $\iota_q$  is an isometry if and only if p is odd and  $q \in U^{(1)}$ , or p = 2 and  $q \in U^{(2)}$ . Every isometry  $\iota_q$  preserves the norm.

Proof. If  $i_q$  is an isometry then it is surjective by definition, and by Theorem 3.1, either p is odd and  $q \in U^{(1)}$ , or p=2 and  $q \in U^{(2)}$ . Conversely, suppose p is odd and  $q \in U^{(1)}$ , or p=2 and  $q \in U^{(2)}$ . By Theorem 3.1,  $i_q$  is surjective. By Proposition 2.3,  $i_q$  is injective, hence it is bijective. Since  $C_q$  is compact, a continuous bijection on  $C_q$  is a homeomorphism, therefore  $i_q$  is a homeomorphism. By Lemma 3.2,  $v_p(i_q(z)) = v_p(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , i.e.,  $i_q$  preserves the p-adic norm. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.4.** Suppose  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ . Then  $[\iota_q]_{p^n}$  is surjective if and only if p is odd and  $q \in U^{(1)}$ , or if p = 2 and either n = 1 or  $q \in U^{(2)}$ . The image of  $[\iota_q]_{p^n}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$  is

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} & \text{if $p$ is odd and $q\in U^{(1)}$, or if $p=2$ and $q\in U^{(2)}$} \\ \left(2^{l_0}\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}\right)\cup\left(1+2^{l_0}\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}\right) & \text{if $p=2$ and $q\in U^{(1)}-U^{(2)}$} \\ \bigcup_{z_0=0}^{o_p-1}\left(\imath_q(z_0)+p^{m_0}\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}\right) & \text{if $p$ is odd and $q\not\in U^{(1)}$.} \end{cases}$$

We set  $p^m \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z} = 0$  if m > n. In the last case, the  $\iota_q(z_0)$  are all incongruent modulo p.

Proof. Except for the last case, the computation of  $i_q(C_q) \pmod{p^n}$  is immediate by Theorem 3.1. For the last case, suppose p is odd and  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} - U^{(1)}$ . Then  $q = \omega u$  where  $u \in U^{(m_0)} - U^{(m_0+1)}$ , and it follows immediately that for  $z_0 = 0, 1, \ldots, o_p - 1, q^{z_0} \equiv \omega^{z_0} \pmod{p^{m_0}}$ , hence  $\omega^{z_0} - 1 \equiv q^{z_0} - 1 \pmod{p^{m_0}}$ . Since q - 1 is a unit,  $\frac{\omega^{z_0} - 1}{q - 1} \equiv i_q(z_0) \pmod{p^{m_0}}$ . The  $i_q(z_0)$  are incongruent by the proof of Theorem 3.1, so this proves all but the first statement. If p is odd and  $q \in U^{(1)}$ , or if p = 2 and  $q \in U^{(2)}$ , then  $[i_q]_{p^n}$  is surjective by Theorem 3.1. If p = 2 and p = 1, then an easy computation shows  $[i_q]_{2^n}$  is surjective. Conversely, if p = 2,  $p \geq 1$ , and  $p \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$ , then  $p \geq 1$ , and  $p \geq 1$ , and  $p \geq 1$ , and  $p \geq 1$ . This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.5. Suppose p is prime,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $q \in U^{(1)}$ . Then

$$\sum_{z=0}^{p^n-1} \imath_q(z) \equiv \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 2^{n-1} (\operatorname{mod} 2^n) & \textit{if } p = 2 \\ 0 (\operatorname{mod} p^n) & \textit{if } p \textit{ is odd.} \end{array} \right.$$

Proof. If p is odd and  $q \in U^{(1)}$ , or if p=2 and  $q \in U^{(2)}$ , then by Theorem 3.4  $[\iota_q]_{p^n}$  is surjective, and  $\sum_{z=0}^{p^n-1} \iota_q(z) = \sum_{z=0}^{p^n-1} z = p^n(p^n-1)/2$ . If p is odd then this expression is congruent to 0 modulo  $p^n$ , and if p=2 it is congruent to  $2^{n-1}$ .

Suppose p=2 and  $q \in U^{(1)}-U^{(2)}$ . By Theorem 3.4,  $|[i_q(C_q)]_{2^n}|=2^{n-l_0+1}$ , and the image of  $[i_q]_{2^n}$  is  $2^{l_0}\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z} \cup (1+2^{l_0}\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})$ . We need to sum the elements of this set, and then count each element  $2^n/|[i_q(C_q)]_{2^n}|=2^{l_0-1}$  times. We represent  $2^{l_0}\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$  by the numbers

$$S = \left\{ 2^{l_0} (a_0 + a_1 \cdot 2 + \dots + a_{n-l_0-1} 2^{n-l_0-1}) : a_i \in \{0, 1\} \right\}$$

Then  $i_q(C_q) \equiv S \cup (1+S) \pmod{2^n}$ . We divide S into unordered pairs  $\{s,t\}$ , where if  $s = 2^{l_0}(a_0 + \dots + a_{n-l_0-1}2^{n-l_0-1})$  then  $t = 2^{l_0}(b_0 + \dots + b_{n-l_0-1}2^{n-l_0-1})$ , with  $b_i = 1-a_i$ . Note  $s \neq t$ , so S is the disjoint union of the pairs  $\{s,t\}$ , and  $s+t = 2^{l_0}(1+2+\dots+2^{n-l_0-1}) = 2^{l_0}(2^{n-l_0-1}-1)$ . Thus the sum of the elements of S is  $2^{n-l_0}2^{l_0}(2^{n-l_0-1}-1) = 2^n(2^{n-l_0-1}-1)$ . Similarly the sum of the elements of 1+S is  $2^{n-l_0}+2^n(2^{n-l_0-1}-1)$ . The total sum, multiplied by  $2^{l_0-1}$ , is

$$2^{l_0-1}(2^{n-l_0}+2^{n+1}(2^{n-l_0-1}-1))\equiv 2^{n-1}(\operatorname{mod} 2^n).$$

This completes the proof.

#### 4. Fixed Points.

If  $q \in U^{(1)}$  then  $C_q = \mathbb{Z}_p$ . In this case  $i_q$  has an obvious notion of fixed point.

**Definition 4.1.** Suppose  $q = U^{(1)}$ . We say  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  is a p-adic fixed point of  $i_q$  if  $i_q(z) = z$ , and a modular fixed point of  $[i_q]_{p^n}$  if  $i_q(z) \equiv z \pmod{p^n}$ .

For example,  $i_q$  fixes 0 and 1. We call these fixed points *trivial*. It is clear that z is a p-adic fixed point for  $i_q$  if and only if z is a modular fixed point for  $[i_q]_{p^n}$  for all n. The next result shows there are certain modular fixed points that always appear, and that in most cases these are the only ones.

**Theorem 4.2 "Modular Fixed Point Pairs".** Suppose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q \in U^{(1)}$ , and  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Then z is a modular fixed point of  $[i_q]_{p^n}$  if

$$(*) v_p(z(z-1)) \ge n - v_p(q-1) + v_p(2).$$

If either  $p \neq 3$ ,  $q \in U^{(2)}$ ,  $n \leq 2$ , or  $z \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , then z is a fixed point if and only if (\*) holds. If  $p \neq 3$ ,  $q \in U^{(2)}$ , or  $n \leq 2$ , the complete set of modular fixed points is  $a_0 \mathbb{Z}_p \cup (1 + a_0 \mathbb{Z}_p)$ , where

$$a_0 = \begin{cases} o([q]_{p^n}) & \text{if } p \text{ is odd} \\ 2 \cdot o([q]_{2^n}) & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } q \in U^{(2)} \\ 2^n & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We set  $m_0 = v_p(q-1)$ , as in Definition 2.1. It is easily seen that if z=0 or 1 then z is a fixed point of  $[i_q]_{p^n}$ , and if z=2 then z is a fixed point of  $[i_q]_{p^n}$  if and only if  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$ . On the other hand if z=0 or 1 then it is immediate that (\*) holds, and if z=2 then (\*) holds if and only if  $n-m_0 \leq 0$ , i.e.,  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$ . Therefore all of the statements hold in these cases, and we will assume  $z \neq 0, 1, 2$  in the following.

Let X = q - 1, then  $v_p(X) = m_0 \ge 1$ . By Definition 4.1, z is a fixed point of  $[i_q]_{p^n}$  if and only if  $i_q(z) \equiv z \pmod{p^n}$ , and multiplying both sides by X, we see this is equivalent to  $(1 + X)^z \equiv 1 + zX \pmod{p^{m_0 + n}}$ . By the Binomial Theorem we have  $(1 + X)^z = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} {z \choose i} X^i$ , so z is a fixed point for  $[i_q]_{p^n}$  if and only if  $\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} {z \choose i} X^i \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{m_0 + n}}$ . We factor out X and reduce the modulus to  $p^n$ , and replace z by its residue  $(\text{mod } p^n)$ . Then we have that z is a fixed point for  $[i_q]_{p^n}$  if and only if

$$(**) \qquad \sum_{i=2}^{z} {z \choose i} X^{i-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}.$$

We immediately dispense with the  $n \leq 2$  case. For if  $n \leq 2$ , then  $X^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}$ , so (\*\*) becomes  $\frac{z(z-1)}{2}X \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}$ , hence z is fixed by  $[i_q]_{p^n}$  if and only if (\*) holds.

To analyze the sum in (\*\*) in the remaining cases we will prove a claim, that the i=2 term of (\*\*) has the smallest p-value, and if  $p \neq 3$ ,  $m_0 \geq 2$ , or  $z \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , then the i=2 term has the unique smallest value. This claim proves the first two statements. For it implies the value of the sum in (\*\*) is at least  $v_p(z(z-1)X/2)$ , hence that if (\*) holds then  $[i_q]_{p^n}$  fixes z, as desired. Conversely the claim implies that if  $p \neq 3$ ,  $m_0 \geq 2$ , or  $z \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , then the value of the sum in (\*\*) is exactly  $v_0(z(z-1)X/2)$ , so if z is a fixed point for  $[i_q]_{p^n}$ , then (\*) holds.

To prove the claim we compute the difference in value between the i = 2 term and the  $i = j \ge 3$  term,

$$v_p\big(\tfrac{z(z-1)\cdots(z-j+1)\cdot 2\cdot p^{(j-1)m_0}}{z(z-1)j!\cdot p^{m_0}}\big)=v_p\big(\tfrac{(z-2)\cdots(z-j+1)\cdot 2\cdot p^{(j-2)m_0}}{j!}\big).$$

The claim holds that this number is always nonnegative, and is positive when  $p \neq 3$ ,  $m_0 \geq 2$ , or  $z \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ . Thus we must show

$$v_p(j!) \le v_p((z-2)\cdots(z-j+1)\cdot 2\cdot p^{(j-2)m_0})$$

for  $j \geq 3$ , with strict inequality when  $p \neq 3$ ,  $m_0 \geq 2$ , or  $z \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ . We resort to a brute force case analysis. To weed out most of the cases we will use the following bounds. By Legendre's Theorem, for the denominator we have  $v_p(j!) \leq \lfloor \frac{j-1}{p-1} \rfloor$ , since always  $s_p(j) \geq 1$  for  $j \neq 0$ . On the other hand, for the numerator we have

$$v_p((z-2)\cdots(z-j+1)\cdot 2\cdot p^{(j-2)m_0}) \ge v_p(2\cdot p^{(j-2)m_0}) = (j-2)m_0 + v_p(2).$$

Therefore to prove the claim it is sufficient, but not necessary, to show

$$\lfloor \frac{j-1}{p-1} \rfloor \le (j-2)m_0 + v_p(2)$$

for  $j \geq 3$ , with strict inequality when  $p \neq 3$ ,  $m_0 \geq 2$ , or  $z \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ .

Suppose p=3 and  $m_0=1$ . If  $j\geq 4$  we have  $\lfloor \frac{j-1}{p-1}\rfloor=\lfloor \frac{j-1}{2}\rfloor< j-2$ , so we have strict inequality for  $j\geq 4$ . When j=3 we have  $v_3(j!)=1$  and

$$v_p((z-2)\cdots(z-j+1)\cdot 2\cdot p^{(j-2)m_0})=v_3((z-2)\cdot 2\cdot 3)=v_3(z-2)+1$$

and we see the former is always less than or equal to the latter, with strict inequality if and only if  $v_3(z-2) \neq 0$ , i.e.,  $z \equiv 2 \pmod 3$ . This proves the first part of the claim, and the p=3,  $m_0=1$ ,  $z \equiv 2 \pmod 3$  case of the second part. It remains to prove strict inequality when  $p \neq 3$  or  $m_0 \geq 2$ .

Assume  $m_0 \ge 2$ . If p=2 then  $\lfloor \frac{j-1}{p-1} \rfloor = j-1 = (j-2)+1 < (j-2)m_0+1$ , so we have strict inequality in this case. If p is odd then  $\lfloor \frac{j-1}{p-1} \rfloor < j-1 \le (j-2)2 \le (j-2)m_0$ , since  $j \ge 3$ , so the claim is true in this case. Thus we have proved the claim if  $m_0 \ge 2$ .

Suppose  $p \neq 3$  and  $m_0 = 1$ . If p = 2 and j = 3, then (for all  $m_0$ ) we have  $v_p(j!) = 1 < j - 1 = (j-2) + 1 \le (j-2)m_0 + v_p(2)$ , so the claim is true in this case. If p = 2 and  $j \ge 4$  then  $z \ge 4$ , hence  $v_2(z-2) = 1$  or  $v_2(z-3) = 1$ , and we have

$$v_p(j!) \le \lfloor \frac{j-1}{p-1} \rfloor = j-1 < j = (j-2)+2 \le v_p((z-2)(z-3)\cdots(z-j+1)\cdot 2\cdot p^{(j-2)m_0})$$

and the claim is proved in this case. If  $p \neq 2, 3$  then  $\lfloor \frac{j-1}{p-1} \rfloor \leq \lfloor \frac{j-1}{4} \rfloor \leq \frac{j-1}{4} < j-2$ , proving the claim in these cases. This completes the proof of the claim, and hence of the first two statements.

We now compute the complete set of modular fixed points for  $[i_q]_{p^n}$  when  $p \neq 3$ ,  $q \in U^{(2)}$ , or  $n \leq 2$ . We will determine  $a_0$  such that the set of elements that satisfy (\*) has the form  $a_0\mathbb{Z}_p \cup (1+a_0\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Set  $v_0 = v_p(z(z-1))$  and  $o_{p^n} = o([q]_{p^n})$ . If  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$  then (\*) is satisfied for all  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , and since  $o_{p^n} = 1$ , we have  $\mathbb{Z}_p = a_0\mathbb{Z}_p \cup (1+a_0\mathbb{Z}_p)$  if  $a_0 = o_{p^n}$  if p is odd, or  $a_0 = 2 \cdot o_{2^n}$  if p = 2 and  $q \in U^{(2)}$ , or  $a_0 = 2^n = 2$  if  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$ , as claimed. Therefore we will now assume  $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$ . First we consider the case  $p \neq 3$ , then p = 3 and  $q \in U^{(2)}$ , and finally  $p \neq 3$ ,  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$ , and  $n \leq 2$ .

Suppose  $p \neq 3$  and p is odd. Then  $v_p(2) = 0$ , and (\*) becomes  $v_0 \geq n - m_0$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $m_0 = n - v_p(o_{p^n})$ , so this reduces to  $v_0 \geq v_p(o_{p^n})$ , i.e.,  $z \in o_{p^n}\mathbb{Z}_p \cup (1 + o_{p^n}\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Thus we set  $a_0 = o_{p^n}$  in this case. Suppose p = 2 and  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$ . Then  $m_0 = 1$  and  $v_2(2) = 1$ , so (\*) becomes  $v_0 \geq n$ . Therefore z satisfies (\*) if and only if  $z \in 2^n\mathbb{Z}_2$  or  $z \in 1 + 2^n\mathbb{Z}_2$ , and we set  $a_0 = 2^n$ . Suppose p = 2 and  $q \in U^{(2)}$ . Then by Lemma 2.2,  $m_0 = n - v_2(o_{2^n})$ , and

(\*) becomes  $v_0 \geq v_2(o_{2^n}) + 1 = v_2(2 \cdot o_{2^n})$ . This holds for z if and only if  $z \in 2 \cdot o_{2^n}\mathbb{Z}_2$  or  $z \in 1 + 2 \cdot o_{2^n}\mathbb{Z}_2$ , so we take  $a_0 = 2 \cdot o_{2^n}$ . Suppose p = 3 and  $q \in U^{(2)}$ . Then by Lemma 2.2 we have  $m_0 = n - o_{3^n}$ , and (\*) becomes  $v_0 \geq v_3(o_{3^n})$ , which holds if and only if  $z \in o_{3^n}\mathbb{Z}_3$  or  $z \in 1 + o_{3^n}\mathbb{Z}_3$ . Thus we take  $a_0 = o_{3^n}$ . Finally, suppose  $p \neq 3$ ,  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$ , and  $n \leq 2$ . Since we assume  $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$ , we have n = 2. Then (\*) becomes  $v_0 \geq n - 1 = 1$ , which holds if and only if  $z \in 3\mathbb{Z}_3$  or  $z \in 1 + 3\mathbb{Z}_3$ . Since  $3 = o_{p^n}$ , we may take  $a_0 = o_{p^n}$ . This completes the proof.

**Remark 4.3.** It is quickly seen that the criterion (\*) fails to give all fixed points when  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$  and p = 3. For example, if p = 3, q = 4, and n = 3, then z = 4 is a fixed point of  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  not indicated by the criterion. For we compute  $v_3(4 \cdot 3) = 1 < 3 - v_3(3) = 2$ , so z = 4 does not satisfy (\*), yet  $\iota_4(4) \equiv (4^4 - 1)/(4 - 1) \equiv 255/3 \equiv 85 \equiv 4 \pmod{3^3}$ .

**Corollary 4.4.** Suppose  $q \in U^{(1)} - \{1\}$ . If  $p \neq 3$  or  $q \in U^{(2)}$  then  $i_q$  has only the trivial p-adic fixed points 0 and 1.

Proof. By Theorem 4.2 and the hypotheses, any p-adic fixed point z of  $i_q$  is a fixed point of  $[i_q]_{p^n}$  for all n, and so belongs to the set  $a_0\mathbb{Z}_p\cup(1+a_0\mathbb{Z}_p)$  for all n, where  $a_0$  is as in Theorem 4.2. If p is odd we have  $a_0=o([q]_{p^n})$ . Since  $q\in U^{(1)}-\{1\}$ , q is not a root of unity, and in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty}o([q]_{p^n})=0$ , and we conclude z=0 or z=1. If p=2 and  $q\in U^{(2)}$  we have  $a_0=2o([q]_{2^n})$ , and since again q is not a root of unity, a similar argument holds. If p=2 and  $q\in U^{(1)}-U^{(2)}$  we have  $a_0=2^n$ , and since  $\lim_{n\to\infty}2^n=0$ , we again conclude z=0 or z=1.

#### 5. The Number Three.

By Corollary 4.4, the only  $q \in U^{(1)} - \{1\}$  for which  $\iota_q$  could conceivably have a nontrivial p-adic fixed point are those that generate  $U^{(1)}$  when p = 3. Moreover, it follows by Theorem 4.2 that any 3-adic fixed point z for  $\iota_q$  satisfies  $v_3(z(z-1)) \geq 1$ . Therefore we must have  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$  and  $z \in 3\mathbb{Z}_3 \cup (1+3\mathbb{Z}_3)$ . We will prove the following result, which combines Lemma 5.15 and Theorem 6.1 below.

**Theorem.** There exist unique elements  $q_0 \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$  and  $q_1 \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$  in  $U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$  such that  $\iota_{q_0}$  and  $\iota_{q_1}$  have no 3-adic fixed points. If  $q \notin \{q_0, q_1\}$ , then  $\iota_q$  has a unique nontrivial 3-adic fixed point  $z_q$ . If  $q \in 4 + 9\mathbb{Z}_3$  then  $z_q \in 1 + 3\mathbb{Z}_3$ ; if  $q \in 7 + 9\mathbb{Z}_3$  then  $z_q \in 3\mathbb{Z}_3$ .

Instead of trying to construct the fixed points for each  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  directly, and then piecing them together to find 3-adic fixed points, our strategy is to first construct a q for each  $z \in 3\mathbb{Z}_3 \cup (1+3\mathbb{Z}_3)-\{0,1\}$ , such that  $i_q(z) \equiv z \pmod{3^n}$ . This is Proposition 5.2. Passing to the limit, we obtain a unique q for each of these z, such that  $i_q(z) = z$ . This is Corollary 5.4. In Theorem 5.8 we use these results to establish the two possible structures of the set of all fixed points for  $[i_q]_{3^n}$ , for any given  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$ . Which of these two structures applies depends on whether  $i_q$  has a "rooted fixed point" modulo  $3^n$ . By piecing these sets together and applying some subtle counting arguments, we establish in Theorem 5.13 the existence of a uniquely determined 3-adic fixed point for those  $i_q$  that exhibit an rooted fixed point modulo  $i_q$  for some  $i_q$ . This accounts for those  $i_q$  constructed in Corollary 5.4. To show that these are all of the  $i_q$  in  $i_q$  in  $i_q$  with two exceptions in Lemma 5.15, we resort to topological considerations. This is Theorem 6.1, the main theorem of the paper.

Set  $v = v_3$ , the additive valuation on  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ . The following lemma provides the technical explanation for the exceptional role of the number three in our context. Let  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$  denote

\_

the polynomial ring in indeterminates  $\{x_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ . Extend v to this ring by setting  $v(x_i)=0$  for all i, and let  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1,x_2,\ldots]_3$  denote the resulting completion with respect to 3. Let  $X=x_13+x_23^2+\cdots\in\mathbb{Z}[x_1,x_2,\ldots]_3$ , and suppose  $z\in\mathbb{Z}_3$  satisfies  $1\leq v(z(z-1))<\infty$ . Set

$$S = S(z) = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} {z \choose j} X^j,$$

where  $\binom{m}{j} = 0$  if  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and m < j. For each j we have a 3-adic expansion  $X^j = \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} a_i 3^i$ , where  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_{i-j+1}]$ . This polynomial ring is free on the monomials in the  $x_i$ , so  $a_i$  is uniquely expressible as a sum of monomials in  $x_1$  through  $x_{i-j+1}$ , with coefficients in  $\{0,1,2\}$ . Fix k. For each j, let  $l_j$  be the smallest number such that  $x_k$  appears in  $a_{l_j}$ , and let  $b_{j,k}$  be the sum of those monomials in  $a_{l_j}$  in which  $x_k$  appears. We call  $\binom{z}{j}b_{j,k}3^{l_j}$  the minimal  $x_k$ -term of  $\binom{z}{j}X^j$ . It represents the additive factor of  $\binom{z}{j}X^j$  of smallest homogeneous 3-value that is divisible by  $x_k$ . Suppose  $w_0 = \inf_j v(\binom{z}{j}b_{j,k}3^{l_j}) = \inf_j (v(\binom{z}{j}) + l_j)$ . The minimal  $x_k$ -term in S is the sum of those minimal  $x_k$ -terms  $\binom{z}{j}b_{j,k}3^{l_j}$  such that  $v(\binom{z}{j}) + l_j = w_0$ . It represents the additive factor of S of smallest homogeneous 3-value that is divisible by  $x_k$ . Note that since the monomials form a basis, adding minimal  $x_k$ -terms of given (minimal) value does not change that value, so the minimal  $x_k$ -term of S has value  $w_0$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** Suppose  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_3$  satisfies  $1 \le v(z(z-1)) < \infty$ . Then the minimal  $x_k$ -term of S has value v(z(z-1)) + k + 1. The minimal  $x_1$ -term is  $\binom{z}{2}x_1^23^2 + \binom{z}{3}x_1^33^3$ , and for  $k \ge 2$  the minimal  $x_k$ -term is  $\binom{z}{2}2x_1x_k3^{k+1}$ .

Proof. Set  $v_0 = v(z(z-1))$ . We treat the k=1 case first. Let  $Y = X - x_1 3 \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots]_3$ , then v(Y) = 2. By inspection, the term of smallest 3-value in  $X^2 = (Y + x_1 3)^2 = Y^2 + 2x_1 3Y + x_1^2 3^2$  is  $x_1^2 3^2$ . Therefore the minimal  $x_1$ -term in  $\binom{z}{2} X^2$  is  $\binom{z}{2} x_1^2 3^2$ . If j=3 then similarly the minimal  $x_1$ -term in  $\binom{z}{3} X^3 = \binom{z}{3} (Y + x_1 3)^3$  is  $\binom{z}{3} x_1^3 3^3$ . Since  $v(\binom{z}{2}) = v_0$  and  $v(\binom{z}{3}) = v_0 - 1$ ,  $v(\binom{z}{2} x_1^2 3^2) = v(\binom{z}{3} x_1^3 3^3) = v_0 + 2$ .

To prove the first statement we must show the minimal  $x_1$ -terms of  $\binom{z}{j}X^j$  for  $j \geq 4$  have higher 3-value. It is easy to see that the value of the minimal  $x_1$ -term is  $v(\binom{z}{j}X^j)$ , so it suffices to prove the *claim*:  $v(\binom{z}{j}X^j) > v_0 + 2$  for  $j \geq 4$ .

Since  $v_0 = v(z(z-1))$ , either  $v_0 = v(z)$  or  $v_0 = v(z-1)$ . Assume first that  $v_0 = v(z)$ . Using Kummer's formula it is easy to show that  $v(\binom{z}{j}) = v(\binom{3^{v_0}}{j})$  for  $j \leq 3^{v_0}$ . Therefore if  $j \leq 3^{v_0}$ ,  $v(\binom{z}{j}) = v_0 - v(j)$ , hence  $v(\binom{z}{j}X^j) = v_0 + j - v(j)$ . It is easy to see that  $j - v(j) \geq 4$  when  $j \geq 4$ , hence  $v(\binom{z}{j}X^j) \geq v_0 + 4$  in this case, and we are done. If  $j > 3^{v_0}$  then already  $j > v_0 + 2$ , so  $v(\binom{z}{j}X^j) \geq j > v_0 + 2$ , and we are done. This proves the claim for  $v_0 = v(z)$ .

If  $v_0 = v(z-1)$ , then  $\binom{z}{j} = \frac{z}{z-j} \binom{z-1}{j}$  and v(z) = 0, hence  $v(\binom{z}{j}) = v(\binom{z-1}{j}) - v(z-j)$ . In particular,  $v(\binom{z}{j}) = v(\binom{z-1}{j})$  if and only if  $j \equiv 0$  or  $2 \pmod{3}$ . But we have already shown the minimal  $x_1$  term in this case has value exceeding  $v_0 + 2$  for  $j \geq 4$ , so we are done if  $j \equiv 0$  or  $2 \pmod{3}$ . If  $j \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  then since  $v(\frac{z-j+1}{j}) = 0$ ,  $v(\binom{z}{j}) = v(\binom{z}{j-1})$ , so  $v(\binom{z}{j}X^j) > v(\binom{z}{j-1}X^{j-1})$ . If j > 4 then since  $j - 1 \equiv 0$  or  $2 \pmod{3}$ , we are done by the previous case. If j = 4 then since  $v(\binom{z}{3}X^3) = v_0 + 2$ , we have the claim directly. This finishes the claim.

Now suppose  $k \geq 2$ . Set  $Y = X - x_k 3^k$ , then v(Y) = 1. By the Binomial Theorem,

$${\binom{z}{j}}X^j = {\binom{z}{j}}\sum_{i=0}^j {\binom{j}{i}}Y^{j-i}x_k^i 3^{ki}$$

Evidently  $x_k$  only appears in the  $i \geq 1$  terms. By inspection, the minimal  $x_k$ -term of  $\binom{z}{2}X^2 = \binom{z}{2}(Y+x_k3^k)^2$  is  $\binom{z}{2}2x_1x_k3^{k+1}$ . To complete the proof it is enough to show that for  $j \geq 3$  and  $i \geq 1$ ,  $v\binom{z}{i} + v\binom{z}{i} + v\binom{z}{i} + i + i > v_0 + k + 1$ , or

$$v(\binom{z}{i}) + v(\binom{j}{i}) + j + (i-1)(k-1) > v_0 + 2.$$

If  $j>3^{v_0}$  then since  $v_0\geq 1$  we have  $j>v_0+2$ , and we are done. Assume for the rest of the proof that  $j\leq 3^{v_0}$ . By Kummer's formula again,  $v\binom{z}{j}=v_0-v(j)$  if  $v_0=v(z)$  or if  $v_0=v(z-1)$  and  $j\equiv 0$  or 2(mod 3), and we are done in these cases if j-v(j)>2. In particular, we are done if  $j\geq 4$ . If  $j=3\leq 3^{v_0}$  and  $i\in\{1,2\}$  then  $v\binom{j}{i}=1$  and we are done. If  $j=3\leq 3^{v_0}$  and j=3 then the left side of the inequality becomes  $v_0-1+3+2(k-1)=v_0+2+2(k-1)$ , and since k>1, we are done in this case. Finally, suppose  $v_0=v(z-1)$  and  $j\equiv 1\pmod{3}$ . Then  $j\geq 4$ . As before,  $v\binom{z-j+1}{j}=0$  implies  $v\binom{z}{j}=v\binom{z}{j-1}$ . Since  $j-1\leq 3^{v_0}$  and  $j-1\equiv 0\pmod{3}$ , Kummer's formula yields  $v\binom{z}{j}=v_0-v(j-1)$ , and we are done if j-v(j-1)>2. Since  $j\geq 4$ , this completes the proof.

The next result proves the existence of q such that  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  fixes a given  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ .

**Proposition 5.2 "Existence of** q". Suppose  $n \geq 3$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $v_0 = v(z(z-1))$ , and  $1 \leq v_0 \leq n-2$ . Then there exists an element  $q_{n-v_0} = 1 + a_1 3 + \dots + a_{n-v_0-1} 3^{n-v_0-1} \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$  such that  $i_{q_{n-v_0}}(z) \equiv z \pmod{3^n}$ . If  $q \in U^{(1)}$ , then  $i_q(z) \equiv z \pmod{3^n}$  if and only if  $q \equiv q_{n-v_0} \pmod{3^{n-v_0}}$ . If  $v(z) \geq 1$  then  $q_{n-v_0} \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$ , and if  $v(z-1) \geq 1$  then  $q_{n-v_0} \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$ .

Proof. Let  $X = x_1 3 + x_2 3^2 + \cdots \in 3\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \ldots]_3$ . We will show that the equation  $S = \sum_{j \geq 2} {z \choose j} X^j \equiv 0 \pmod{3^{n+1}}$  has a solution  $X = A \in \mathbb{Z}_3$  of value 1, that is uniquely determined modulo  $3^{n-v_0}$ . Equivalently,  $(1+X)^z \equiv 1 + zX \pmod{3^{n+1}}$  has such a solution, and setting q = A+1, we obtain an element  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$  satisfying  $i_q(z) \equiv z \pmod{3^n}$ , uniquely determined  $\pmod{3^{n-v_0}}$ . We then set  $q_{n-v_0} = 1 + a_1 3 + \cdots + a_{n-v_0-1} 3^{n-v_0-1}$ . We will treat the  $v_0 = v(z)$  case in detail, then indicate the changes needed to prove the  $v_0 = v(z-1)$  case.

We proceed inductively, showing that for all  $n = m + v_0 + 1$ ,  $\sum_{j \geq 2} {z \choose j} X^j \equiv 0 \pmod{3^{n+1}}$  uniquely determines  $a_1, \ldots, a_m$ . Suppose m = 1, so  $n = v_0 + 2$ . By Lemma 5.1 the minimal  $x_1$ -term of S is

$${\binom{z}{2}}x_1^2 + {\binom{z}{3}}x_1^3 + {\binom{z}{3}}x_1^3 = \frac{1}{2}(z-1)x_1^2 + (z-2)x_1 + (z-2$$

Making this  $0 \pmod{3^{v_0+3}}$  is the same as solving  $\frac{1}{2}(z-1)x_1^2(1+(z-2)x_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . Since z-1 is invertible  $\pmod{3}$  and  $z \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , the solutions are  $x_1=0$  and  $x_1=2$ . We eliminate  $x_1=0$ , since we require  $q \in U^{(1)}-U^{(2)}$ , and we are left with a unique solution  $x_1=a_1=2$ . This completes the base case.

Suppose we have uniquely determined  $x_i=a_i$  in S for  $i=1,\ldots,m$ , so that  $S\equiv 0 \pmod{3^{n+1}}$ , where  $n=m+v_0+1$ . We will show  $S\equiv 0 \pmod{3^{n+2}}$  uniquely determines  $x_{m+1}=a_{m+1}$ . By Lemma 5.1 the minimal  $x_{m+1}$ -term of S is  $\binom{z}{2}2x_1x_{m+1}3^{m+2}=(z-1)x_1x_{m+1}3^{v_0+m+2}$ . Thus  $S(\bmod 3^{v_0+m+3})$  is linear in  $x_{m+1}$ , and reduces to  $f(a_1,\ldots,a_m)+(z-1)a_1x_{m+1}\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  for some polynomial  $f\in \mathbb{Z}[x_1,\ldots,x_m]$ . Since z-1 and  $a_1=2$  are invertible  $(\bmod 3)$ , there is a unique solution  $x_{m+1}=a_{m+1}\in\{0,1,2\}$ , as desired. By induction, for all n, the equation  $S\equiv 0 \pmod{3^{n+1}}$  determines the coefficients  $a_1,\ldots,a_{n-v_0-1}$ .

The proof shows that if  $q_{n-v_0} = 1 + 2 \cdot 3 + a_2 3^2 + \cdots + a_{n-v_0-1} 3^{n-v_0-1}$ , then for all  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$ ,  $i_q(z) \equiv z \pmod{3^n}$  if and only if  $q \equiv q_{n-v_0} \pmod{3^{n-v_0}}$ . This completes the proof of the  $v_0 = v(z)$  case.

If  $v_0 = v(z-1)$  then by Lemma 5.1 the minimal  $x_k$ -terms have the same form with minor modifications, and the same argument uniquely determines the coefficients  $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-v_0-1}$ . However,  $x_1 = a_1$  is determined by the equation  $\frac{1}{2}zx_1^2(1 + (z-2)x_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , and since  $z \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  this forces  $a_1 = 1$ . This completes the proof of the  $v_0 = v(z-1)$  case.

As a corollary we obtain a class of fixed points that do not appear in pairs, unlike the others.

Corollary 5.3. Fix a number  $n \geq 3$ .

```
a. If q \equiv 7 \pmod{9} then c \cdot 3^{n-2} is a fixed point for [i_q]_{3^n} for all c \in \mathbb{Z}_3.
```

b. If  $q \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$  then  $c \cdot 3^{n-2} + 1$  is a fixed point for  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  for all  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ .

*Proof.* If v(z(z-1)) > n-2 then z is fixed by Theorem 4.2. If v(z(z-1)) = n-2, then by Proposition 5.2, for all  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$ ,  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  fixes z if and only if  $q \equiv 1 + a_1 3 \pmod{3^2}$ . Since  $a_1 = 2$  when  $q \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$  and  $a_1 = 1$  when  $q \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$ , this completes the proof.

We now pass to the limit to assign a unique  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$  to every element  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_3$  such that  $1 \leq v(z(z-1)) < \infty$ .

Corollary 5.4. Suppose  $z \in 3\mathbb{Z}_3 \cup (1+3\mathbb{Z}_3) - \{0,1\}$ . Then there exists a unique  $q \neq 1$  in  $U^{(1)}$  such that z is a 3-adic fixed point for  $\iota_q$ . If  $z \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  then  $q \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$ , and if  $z \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  then  $q \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$ .

Proof. Let  $v_0 = v(z(z-1))$ . Since  $z \neq 0, 1$ , we have  $1 \leq v_0 < \infty$ , and we may apply Proposition 5.2, for  $n \geq v_0 + 2$ . Therefore for all  $n \geq v_0 + 2$ , let  $q_{n-v_0}$  denote the number determined for z modulo  $3^n$  by Proposition 5.2. The  $q_{n-v_0}$  are compatible, in the sense that for all m and n such that m < n,  $q_{n-v_0} \equiv q_{m-v_0} \pmod{3^{m-v_0}}$ . For since  $i_{q_{n-v_0}}(z) \equiv z \pmod{3^n}$ , we have  $i_{q_{n-v_0}}(z) \equiv z \pmod{3^m}$  for all m < n, therefore by Proposition 5.2,  $q_{n-v_0} \equiv q_{m-v_0} \pmod{3^{m-v_0}}$ , as desired. Thus the number  $q = \lim_{n \to \infty} q_{n-v_0}$  is well defined, and since  $q \equiv q_{n-v_0} \pmod{3^{n-v_0}}$  for all n,  $i_q(z) \equiv z \pmod{3^n}$  for all n, hence z is a 3-adic fixed point for  $i_q$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** Fix a number  $n \ge 3$ . Suppose  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$ , and  $a_0 \in 3\mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $a_0 \ne 0$ .

- a. If  $a_0$  is a fixed point for  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  then  $ca_0$  is a fixed point for  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  for  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_3$  if and only if  $c(c-1) \in 3^{n-2v(a_0)-1}\mathbb{Z}_3$ .
- b. If  $a_0 + 1$  is a fixed point for  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  then  $ca_0 + 1$  is a fixed point for  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  for  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_3$  if and only if  $c(c-1) \in 3^{n-2v(a_0)-1}\mathbb{Z}_3$ .

Proof. Let  $v_0 = v(a_0)$ . Suppose  $a_0$  is a fixed point. By Lemma 1.2,  $\iota_q(ca_0) = \iota_{q^{a_0}}(c)\iota_q(a_0)$ , so  $ca_0$  is a fixed point if and only if  $\iota_{q^{a_0}}(c)\iota_q(a_0) \equiv ca_0 \pmod{3^n}$ . Since  $v(a_0) = v_0$  and  $\iota_q(a_0) \equiv a_0 \pmod{3^n}$ , we see that  $ca_0$  is a fixed point if and only if  $\iota_{q^{a_0}}(c) \equiv c \pmod{3^{n-v_0}}$ . To prove (b), suppose  $a_0 + 1$  is a fixed point. By the cocycle condition,  $\iota_q(ca_0 + 1) \equiv ca_0 + 1 \pmod{3^n}$  is equivalent to  $q\iota_q(ca_0) \equiv ca_0 \pmod{3^n}$ , hence  $ca_0 + 1$  is a fixed point if and only if  $q\iota_{q^{a_0}}(c)\iota_q(a_0) \equiv ca_0 \pmod{3^n}$ . Since  $\iota_q(a_0 + 1) \equiv a_0 + 1 \pmod{3^n}$  we have  $q\iota_q(a_0) \equiv a_0 \pmod{3^n}$ , hence  $ca_0 + 1$  is a fixed point if and only if  $\iota_{q^{a_0}}(c) \equiv c \pmod{3^{n-v_0}}$ .

Since  $q \in U^{(1)}$  and  $v_0 \neq 0$ ,  $q^{a_0} \in U^{(2)}$ , and Theorem 4.2 shows c is a fixed point for  $[i_{q^{a_0}}]_{3^{n-v_0}}$  if and only if c or c-1 is a multiple of  $o([q^{a_0}]_{3^{n-v_0}}) = o([q]_{3^{n-2v_0}})$ . But since  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$ ,  $o([q]_{3^{n-2v_0}}) = 3^{n-2v_0-1}$  by Lemma 2.2, as claimed.

**Definition 5.6.** Fix a number  $n \geq 2$ . Suppose  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$  and  $z_0$  is a modular fixed point of  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  such that  $v_0 := v(z_0(z_0-1))$  has the smallest nonzero value. The *period* of the fixed point set for  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  is the number  $\tau = 3^{n-v_0-1}$ . A fixed point z for  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  is called a *rooted* fixed point if  $1 \leq v(z(z-1)) < \frac{n-1}{2}$ , and a *drifting* fixed point if  $v(z(z-1)) \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$ .

If  $z \notin \{0,1\}$  is a 3-adic fixed point for  $i_q$ , then for n > 2v(z(z-1)) + 1, z is a rooted fixed point for  $[i_q]_{3^n}$ . We will show below that conversely a rooted fixed point indicates the existence of a 3-adic fixed point.

Summary 5.7. We summarize the situation so far. By Theorem 4.2, the subgroup  $o([q]_{3^n})\mathbb{Z}_3$  along with its coset  $1+o([q]_{3^n})\mathbb{Z}_3$  form a subset of fixed points for  $[i_q]_{3^n}$ , the "modular fixed point pairs". This set can be computed directly from q. In fact, by Lemma 2.2,  $o([q]_{3^n}) = 3^{n-m_0}$ , where  $m_0 = v_3(q-1)$ . If  $q \in U^{(2)}$ , then these are the only fixed points. If  $q \equiv 4$  or  $7 \pmod{9}$ , then the set  $1 + 3^{n-2}\mathbb{Z}_3$  or  $3^{n-2}\mathbb{Z}_3$ , respectively, give additional fixed points, by Corollary 5.3. We will now see that the remaining fixed points are much more obscure.

The next result gives the complete modular fixed point set structure, given a fixed point  $z_0$  for  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  such that  $v_0 := v(z_0(z_0-1))$  is minimal. We find two distinct cases, depending on whether this  $v_0$  is less than  $\frac{n-1}{2}$ , i.e., whether there exists a rooted fixed point. If  $z_0$  is a rooted fixed point, then every z congruent to  $z_0$  modulo  $\tau = 3^{n-v_0-1}$  is also a rooted fixed point, and this is the complete rooted fixed point set. This set is irregular in the sense that the valuation data of a given z does not by itself predict membership; the number has to have a certain residue. Aside from rooted fixed points, there is a set of drifting fixed points, determined by valuation data alone.

**Theorem 5.8.** Fix a number  $n \geq 2$ . Suppose  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$ . Let  $z_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_3$  be a modular fixed point of  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  such that  $v_0 := v(z_0(z_0 - 1))$  has the smallest nonzero value. Note that by Theorem 4.2,  $v_0 \leq n-1$ . Let  $\tau = 3^{n-v_0-1}$ .

a. Suppose  $q \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$ . The fixed point set for  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  is

$$\begin{cases} (z_0 + \tau \mathbb{Z}_3) \cup \tau \mathbb{Z}_3 \cup (1 + 3^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}_3) & \text{if } v_0 < \frac{n-1}{2} \\ 3^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \mathbb{Z}_3 \cup (1 + 3^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}_3) & \text{if } v_0 \ge \frac{n-1}{2} \end{cases}$$

b. Suppose  $q \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$ . The fixed point set for  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  is

$$\begin{cases} (z_0 + \tau \mathbb{Z}_3) \cup (1 + \tau \mathbb{Z}_3) \cup 3^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}_3 & \text{if } v_0 < \frac{n-1}{2} \\ (1 + 3^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \mathbb{Z}_3) \cup 3^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}_3 & \text{if } v_0 \geq \frac{n-1}{2} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Set  $o_{3^n} = o([q]_{3^n})$ . If n = 2 then  $o_{3^n} = 3$ , and by Theorem 4.2 the fixed point set is  $3\mathbb{Z}_3 \cup (1+3\mathbb{Z}_3)$  for any  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$ ,  $q \neq 1$ . Since here we have  $v_0 = 1 \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$ , we obtain the desired expression.

Suppose  $n \geq 3$  and  $q \equiv 7 \pmod 9$ . Then  $v_0 = v(z_0)$ . By Corollary 5.3,  $1 \leq v_0 \leq n-2$ . By Proposition 5.2, any fixed point for  $i_q$  with  $1 \leq v_0 \leq n-2$  is divisible by 3. We first treat the case  $v_0 < \frac{n-1}{2}$ . Since  $z_0 \in 3\mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $cz_0$  is a fixed point if and only if  $c(c-1) \in 3^{n-2v_0-1}\mathbb{Z}_3$ , by Lemma 5.5(a). If  $c-1 \in 3^{n-2v_0-1}\mathbb{Z}_3$ , then  $cz_0 \in z_0 + z_0 3^{n-2v_0-1}\mathbb{Z}_3 = z_0 + 3^{n-v_0-1}\mathbb{Z}_3 = z_0 + \tau\mathbb{Z}_3$ . If  $c \in 3^{n-2v_0-1}\mathbb{Z}_3$  then similarly  $cz_0 \in \tau\mathbb{Z}_3$ . Thus the fixed point set contains  $(z_0 + \tau\mathbb{Z}_3) \cup \tau\mathbb{Z}_3$ . Any remaining fixed points  $z : v(z) \leq n-2$  cannot be multiples of  $z_0$  by Lemma 5.5, hence by the minimality of the value of  $z_0$  they must have 3-value 0. But by Proposition 5.2, all numbers  $z : 1 \leq v(z-1) \leq n-2$  can only be fixed points for  $q \equiv 4 \pmod 9$ , and by Theorem 4.2, all numbers  $z \equiv 2 \pmod 3$  are not fixed points for any  $q \neq 1$ . On the other hand, by Theorem 4.2

every element in  $1+3^{n-1}\mathbb{Z}_3$  is a fixed point for  $[i_q]_{3^n}$ . This gives the desired fixed point set for the case  $v_0<\frac{n-1}{2}$  and  $q\equiv 7(\bmod 9)$ . The argument for  $v_0<\frac{n-1}{2}$  and  $q\equiv 4(\bmod 9)$  is similar, and we just indicate the changes. Let  $a_0=z_0-1$ , so  $v(a_0)=v_0$ . By Lemma 5.5(b), if  $v_0<\frac{n-1}{2}$  then  $ca_0+1$  is fixed if and only if  $c(c-1)\in 3^{n-1-2v_0}\mathbb{Z}_3$ , and we see the fixed point set contains  $(z_0+\tau\mathbb{Z}_3)\cup(1+\tau\mathbb{Z}_3)$ . The only remaining fixed points are given by the set  $3^{n-1}\mathbb{Z}_3$  by Theorem 4.2, using Proposition 5.2 to rule out all  $z:1\leq v(z)\leq n-2$ , and Theorem 4.2 to rule out all  $z:z\equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ .

Suppose  $v_0 \ge \frac{n-1}{2}$  and  $q \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$ . Then  $2v_0 \ge n-1$ , so by Lemma 5.5(a),  $cz_0$  is a fixed point for all  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ . Any remaining fixed points cannot be multiples of  $z_0$ , and as above we add only the set  $1 + 3^{n-1}\mathbb{Z}_3$ . It remains to show that we can take  $z_0 = 3^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ . For this we need a lemma, which we will also use later.

**Lemma 5.9.** Fix numbers  $n \geq 3$  and  $v_0 : 1 \leq v_0 < \frac{n-1}{2}$ . The total number of generators  $q \in U^{(1)}/U^{(n)}$  such that  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  has a rooted fixed point z with  $v(z(z-1)) = v_0$  is  $4 \cdot 3^{n-v_0-2}$ , divided evenly between the different possibilities for  $z \pmod{3^{n-v_0-1}}$ . The total number of q such that  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  has a rooted fixed point is  $2(3^{n-2}-3^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2}\rfloor})$ . The number such that  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  has no rooted fixed point is  $2 \cdot 3^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2}\rfloor}$ . In each case half of the number is for  $q \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$ , the other half for  $q \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$ .

Proof. Suppose q generates  $U^{(1)}/U^{(n)}$ . By Definition 5.6,  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_3$  is a rooted fixed point for  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  if and only if  $1 \le v(z(z-1)) < \frac{n-1}{2}$ . By Theorem 5.8 so far,  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  has a rooted fixed point z such that  $v(z(z-1)) = v_0$  if and only if it has a (uniquely determined) rooted fixed point  $z_0$  such that  $1 < z_0 < 3^{n-v_0-1}$ . The number of possible distinguished rooted fixed points  $z_0$  with  $v(z_0) = v_0$  is thus the number of generators of  $3^{v_0}\mathbb{Z}/3^{n-v_0-1}\mathbb{Z}$ , or  $2 \cdot 3^{n-2-2v_0}$ . The number with  $v(z_0-1) = v_0$  is obviously the same, so the total number of  $z_0$  with  $v(z_0(z_0-1)) = v_0$  and  $1 < z_0 < 3^{n-v_0-1}$  is  $4 \cdot 3^{n-2-2v_0}$ . Conversely, by Proposition 5.2, each such  $z_0$  defines a number  $q_{n-v_0} = 1 + a_1 3 + \cdots + a_{n-v_0-1} 3^{n-v_0-1}$ , and among all generators  $q \in U^{(1)}/U^{(n)}$ ,  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  fixes  $z_0$  if and only if  $q \equiv q_{n-v_0} \pmod{3^{n-v_0}}$ . Thus to each  $z_0$  there are  $3^{v_0}$  generators  $q \in U^{(1)}/U^{(n)}$  such that  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  fixes  $z_0$ . Therefore the total number of q such that  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  has a rooted fixed point z with  $v(z(z-1)) = v_0$  is  $4 \cdot 3^{n-2-2v_0} \cdot 3^{v_0} = 4 \cdot 3^{n-v_0-2}$ , divided evenly between all of the possible  $z_0 \pmod{3^{n-v_0-1}}$ , as claimed in the first statement.

It follows that for a given n, the total number of generators  $q \in U^{(1)}/U^{(n)}$  such that  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  has a rooted fixed point is  $\sum_{v_0=1}^{\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil-1} 4 \cdot 3^{n-v_0-2}$ , and half of the q are  $4 \pmod 9$ , the other half  $7 \pmod 9$ . Now compute

$$\sum_{v_0=1}^{\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil - 1} 4 \cdot 3^{n-v_0-2} = 4 \sum_{j=\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor}^{n-3} 3^j = 4 \cdot 3^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{j=0}^{n-\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor - 3} 3^j$$

$$= 4 \cdot 3^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \cdot \frac{3^{n-\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor - 2} - 1}{3-1}$$

$$= 2 \cdot 3^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \cdot (3^{n-\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor - 2} - 1)$$

$$= 2(3^{n-2} - 3^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor}),$$

which proves the second statement. The total number of generators  $q \in U^{(1)}/U^{(n)}$  is  $2 \cdot 3^{n-2}$ , since  $a_1$  can assume one of the two values 1, 2, and  $a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}$  can assume any of the values 0, 1, 2. Therefore the number of such q such that  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  has no rooted fixed points is  $2 \cdot 3^{n-2} - 2(3^{n-2} - 3^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor}) = 2 \cdot 3^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor}$ , and again half are congruent to  $7 \pmod 9$ , the other half  $4 \pmod 9$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.

We continue with the proof of Theorem 5.8. We are showing that if  $n \geq 3$ ,  $v_0 \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$ , and  $q \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$ , then  $\lceil \iota_q \rceil_{3^n}$  fixes  $3^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ .

Suppose n is odd. Using Proposition 5.2, we count the number of q between 1 and  $3^n$  such that  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  fixes  $3^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} = 3^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$  and obtain  $3^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ . None of the q for which  $i_q$  has a rooted fixed point can fix  $3^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ , since the rooted fixed point has value  $v_0 < \frac{n-1}{2}$ , and the corresponding period  $\tau$  has value  $n - v_0 - 1 > \frac{n-1}{2}$ , leaving nothing in between. Thus for all of the  $3^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$  numbers q between 1 and  $3^n$  for which  $3^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$  is a fixed point for  $[i_q]_{3^n}$ ,  $i_q$  has no rooted fixed points. By Lemma 5.9, this accounts for all of the  $i_q$ ,  $q \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$ , with no rooted fixed points, as desired.

Suppose n is even. We want to show that if  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  has no rooted fixed points then it fixes  $3^{\frac{n}{2}}$ . To do it, we count the total number of q for which  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  fixes  $3^{\frac{n}{2}}$ , and subtract the number that fix  $3^{\frac{n}{2}}$  and have a corresponding rooted fixed point. We need to show that the result is the same as the number of q for which  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  has no rooted fixed points, which by Lemma 5.9 is  $3^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} = 3^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ . By Theorem 5.8 so far, if  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  fixes  $3^{\frac{n}{2}}$  and has a rooted fixed point, we have  $\frac{n}{2} \geq n-1-v_0$  and  $v_0 < \frac{n-1}{2}$ , hence this rooted fixed point must have value  $v_0 = \frac{n}{2}-1$ .

Since n+1 is odd, by what we have just shown there are  $3^{\frac{n+1-1}{2}}=3^{\frac{n}{2}}$  numbers q between 1 and  $3^{n+1}$  such that  $3^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}=3^{\frac{n}{2}}$  is a fixed point for  $[i_q]_{3^{n+1}}$ , and these q account for all of the  $[i_q]_{3^{n+1}}$  with no rooted fixed points. Any fixed point for  $[i_q]_{3^{n+1}}$  is a fixed point for  $[i_q]_{3^n}$ , but the number of these q that are distinct modulo  $3^n$  is reduced by a factor of 3. Thus we have  $3^{\frac{n}{2}-1}=3^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor}$  numbers q between 1 and  $3^n$  such that  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  fixes  $3^{\frac{n}{2}}$ , and  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  is descended from  $[i_q]_{3^{n+1}}$  that have no rooted fixed points.

We claim that all of these  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  have no rooted fixed points. To prove it, we will show that all of the  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  that fix  $3^{\frac{n}{2}}$  and have rooted fixed points are descended from  $[i_q]_{3^{n+1}}$  that have rooted fixed points. By Lemma 5.9, there are  $2 \cdot 3^{\frac{n}{2}}$  numbers q such that  $[i_q]_{3^{n+1}}$  has a rooted fixed point of value  $v_0 = \frac{n}{2} - 1$ . These rooted fixed points for  $[i_q]_{3^n}$ , of value  $\frac{n}{2} - 1 < \frac{n-1}{2}$ , so there are  $2 \cdot 3^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$  of these q such that  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  has a rooted fixed point of value  $v_0 = \frac{n}{2} - 1$ . By Lemma 5.9, this is all of the q between 1 and  $3^n$  such that  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  has a rooted fixed points is  $3^{n-v_0-1} = 3^{\frac{n}{2}}$ , and each of these  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  fixes the period. This proves the claim. Thus we have  $3^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$  numbers q between 1 and  $3^n$  for which  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  fixes  $3^{\frac{n}{2}}$ , such that  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  has no rooted fixed points. By Lemma 5.9, this accounts for all such q, as desired.

The argument for  $v_0 \ge \frac{n-1}{2}$  and  $q \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$  is similar. Since  $v_0 \ge \frac{n-1}{2}$ , by Lemma 5.5(b) we obtain the set  $z_0 \mathbb{Z}_3 \cup 3^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}_3$ , as desired; we then apply Lemma 5.9 as before to show that we can take  $z_0 = 1 + 3^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$ . This completes the proof.

We now count the number of fixed points in  $\mathbb{Z}_p(\text{mod }p^n)$  for  $[i_q]_{p^n}$ , where p is any prime and  $q \in U^{(1)}$ .

Corollary 5.10 "Fixed Point Count". Suppose  $q \in U^{(1)}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $m_0 = v_p(q-1)$ . If p = 3 and  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$ , let  $v_0$  be the smallest nonzero value of v(z(z-1)) for any modular fixed point q of  $[i_q]_{p^n}$ . Then if  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$  then every point of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a fixed point of  $[i_q]_{p^n}$ . If  $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$  then the number of fixed points z modulo  $p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$  of  $[i_q]_{p^n}$  is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 2p^{m_0} & \text{if } p \neq 3 \text{ or } q \in U^{(2)}, \text{ and } p \text{ is odd} \\ 2^{m_0} & \text{if } p = 2 \\ 2 \cdot 3^{v_0+1} + 3 & \text{if } p = 3, \ q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}, \ \text{and } v_0 < \frac{n-1}{2} \\ 3^{n-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} + 3 & \text{if } p = 3, \ q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}, \ \text{and } v_0 \geq \frac{n-1}{2} \end{array} \right.$$

Proof. The first statement is clear. If  $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$  and  $q \in U^{(2)}$ , then  $o_{p^n} = p^{n-m_0}$  by Lemma 2.2. The rest is a simple count, using Theorem 4.2 if  $p \not\equiv 3$  or  $q \in U^{(2)}$ , and Theorem 5.8 if p=3 and  $q \in U^{(1)}-U^{(2)}$ . In the first instance the fixed point set modulo  $p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$  has the form  $a_0\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \cup (1+a_0\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$ , where  $a_0=o_{p^n}=p^{n-m_0}$  if p is odd,  $a_0=2 \cdot o_{2^n}$  if p=2 and  $q \in U^{(2)}$ , and  $a_0=2^n$  if p=2 and  $q \in U^{(1)}-U^{(2)}$ . Thus the count is  $2 \cdot p^n/(p^{n-m_0})=2 \cdot p^{m_0}$ ,  $2 \cdot 2^n/(2 \cdot 2^{n-m_0})=2^{m_0}$ , and 2, respectively. In the second instance, with p=3, we have  $(2 \cdot 3^n/\tau)+3=2 \cdot 3^{n-(n-v_0-1)}+3=2 \cdot 3^{v_0+1}+3$  if  $q \in U^{(1)}-U^{(2)}$  and  $v_0<\frac{n-1}{2}$ , and  $(3^n/3^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor})+3=3^{n-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}+3$  if  $q \in U^{(1)}-U^{(2)}$  and  $v_0 \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$ .

**Remark 5.11.** We will show in Corollary 6.4 that the number of fixed points for  $[i_q]_{p^n}$  is asymptotically stable for all  $q \in U^{(1)}$  and primes p, with the exception of exactly two values of q for the prime p = 3.

We will now show how to use the rooted fixed points to construct 3-adic fixed points.

Lemma 5.12 "Propagation of Rooted Fixed Points". Suppose  $n \geq 3$ ,  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$ ,  $z_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ , and  $v_0 := v(z_0(z_0 - 1)) < \frac{n-1}{2}$ . If  $i_q(z_0) \equiv z_0 \pmod{3^n}$  then there exists a unique  $c \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  such that  $i_q(z_0 + c3^{n-v_0-1}) \equiv z_0 + c3^{n-v_0-1} \pmod{3^{n+1}}$ . In particular, if  $[i_q]_{3^{n_0}}$  has a rooted fixed point for some  $n_0$ , then  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  has a rooted fixed point for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

Proof. Suppose  $i_q(z_0) \equiv z_0 \pmod{3^n}$ . If  $[i_q]_{3^{n+1}}$  has a rooted fixed point  $z_0'$  satisfying  $v(z_0'(z_0'-1)) < \frac{n-1}{2}$ , then by Definition 5.6,  $z_0'$  is a rooted fixed point for  $[i_q]_{3^n}$ . By the uniqueness of  $v_0$  in Theorem 5.8, we have  $v(z_0'(z_0'-1)) = v_0$ , and by Theorem 5.8,  $z_0' = z_0 + c3^{n-v_0-1}$  for some  $c \in \{0,1,2\}$ , as desired. Since by Theorem 5.8,  $z_0'$  is uniquely determined modulo  $3^{(n+1)-1-v_0} = 3^{n-v_0}$ , c is uniquely determined.

We may assume for the rest of the proof that  $[\imath_q]_{3^{n+1}}$  either has no rooted fixed points, or it has a rooted fixed point  $z_0'$  satisfying  $v_0':=v(z_0'(z_0'-1))\geq \frac{n-1}{2}$ . Thus if  $z_0'$  is a rooted fixed point for  $[\imath_q]_{3^{n+1}}$ , by definition  $v_0'<\frac{(n+1)-1}{2}=\frac{n}{2}$ , so  $v_0'=\frac{n-1}{2}$  is forced. Let  $\tau=3^{n-v_0-1}$ . We claim that  $c\tau$  or  $c\tau+1$  is a fixed point for  $[\imath_q]_{3^{n+1}}$  for any  $c\in\mathbb{Z}_3$ , depending, as usual, on whether  $q\equiv 7$  or  $4(\bmod{9})$ . We will only prove it for  $q\equiv 7(\bmod{9})$ ; the  $q\equiv 4(\bmod{9})$  case is similar. If  $[\imath_q]_{3^{n+1}}$  has no rooted fixed point then by Theorem 5.8,  $[\imath_q]_{3^{n+1}}$  fixes every multiple of  $3^{\lfloor\frac{n+1}{2}\rfloor}$ . Since  $\tau=3^{n-v_0-1}$  and  $v_0<\frac{n-1}{2}$ , we have  $v(\tau)>\frac{n-1}{2}$ , hence  $v(\tau)\geq 3^{\lfloor\frac{n+1}{2}\rfloor}$ . Therefore  $c\tau$  is fixed by  $[\imath_q]_{3^{n+1}}$ , for every  $c\in\mathbb{Z}_3$ , as desired. If  $[\imath_q]_{3^{n+1}}$  has a rooted fixed point  $z_0'$ , so  $v_0'=\frac{n-1}{2}$ , then n is odd, and by Theorem 5.8,  $[\imath_q]_{3^{n+1}}$  fixes every multiple of  $\tau'=3^{(n+1)-1-v_0'}=3^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ . Since  $v_0<\frac{n-1}{2}$  and n is odd,  $\tau=3^{n-v_0-1}\geq 3^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$ , so  $\tau$  is a multiple of  $\tau'$ . Therefore  $[\imath_q]_{3^{n+1}}$  fixes every multiple of  $\tau$ . This proves the claim.

Since  $i_q(z_0) \equiv z_0 \pmod{3^n}$ , we have  $i_q(z_0) \equiv z_0 + a_n 3^n \pmod{3^{n+1}}$  for some  $a_n \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Let X = q - 1, then v(X) = 1. If  $q \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$  then  $v(z_0 \tau X) = n$ , hence  $z_0 \tau X \equiv b_n 3^n \pmod{3^{n+1}}$  for some  $b_n \in \{1, 2\}$ ; if  $q \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$  then similarly we have  $(z_0 - 1)\tau X \equiv b_n 3^n \pmod{3^{n+1}}$ . In either case, set  $c = -a_n b_n$ . Note since  $b_n$  is invertible modulo 3, we have  $cb_n \equiv -a_n \pmod{3}$ . We  $claim \ i_q(z_0 + c\tau) \equiv z_0 + c\tau \pmod{3^{n+1}}$ .

Assume first that  $q \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$ , so  $v_0 = v(z_0)$ . By the Binomial theorem,

$$q^{z_0} = 1 + z_0 X + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} {z_0 \choose j} X^j.$$

By Lemma 5.1,  $v(\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} {z_0 \choose j} X^j) \ge v_0 + 2$ , consequently  $q^{z_0} c\tau \equiv (1 + z_0 X) c\tau \pmod{3^{n+1}}$ . By the previous claim,  $v_q(c\tau) \equiv c\tau \pmod{3^{n+1}}$ . Therefore we compute modulo  $3^{n+1}$ ,

$$i_q(z_0 + c\tau) \equiv i_q(z_0) + q^{z_0}i_q(c\tau) \equiv z_0 + a_n 3^n + q^{z_0}c\tau \equiv z_0 + a_n 3^n + (1 + z_0 X)c\tau$$
$$\equiv z_0 + c\tau + a_n 3^n + cb_n 3^n \equiv z_0 + c\tau \pmod{3^{n+1}}$$

as desired. If  $q \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$ , then  $v_0 = v(z_0 - 1)$ . Since  $i_q(z_0) \equiv z_0 + a_n 3^n \pmod{3^{n+1}}$ , we have  $qi_q(z_0 - 1) = z_0 - 1 + a_n 3^n$  by the cocycle condition, and since  $i_q(c\tau + 1) \equiv c\tau + 1 \pmod{3^{n+1}}$ , we have  $qi_q(c\tau) \equiv c\tau \pmod{3^{n+1}}$ . By the Binomial theorem,  $q^{z_0 - 1}c\tau \equiv (1 + (z_0 - 1)X)c\tau \pmod{3^{n+1}}$ , as before. Therefore we compute modulo  $3^{n+1}$  using the cocycle condition,

$$i_q(z_0 + c\tau) \equiv 1 + qi_q(z_0 - 1 + c\tau) \equiv 1 + q(i_q(z_0 - 1) + q^{z_0 - 1}i_q(c\tau)) \equiv z_0 + a_n 3^n + q^{z_0 - 1}c\tau$$
$$\equiv z_0 + a_n 3^n + (1 + (z_0 - 1)X)c\tau \equiv z_0 + a_n 3^n + c\tau + cb_n 3^n \equiv z_0 + c\tau \pmod{3^{n+1}}$$

as desired. This proves the claim. It remains to show the solution  $c \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  is unique. But since  $z_0 + c\tau$  is a rooted fixed point for  $[i_q]_{3^{n+1}}$ , its residue is uniquely determined modulo  $3^{(n+1)-1-v_0} = 3^{n-v_0}$ , and since  $\tau = 3^{n-v_0-1}$ , c is uniquely determined as an element of  $\{0, 1, 2\}$ .

To prove the last statement, suppose  $[i_q]_{3^{n_0}}$  has a rooted fixed point. By Definition 5.6, this is a fixed point  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_3$  such that  $v_0 := v(z(z-1)) < \frac{n_0-1}{2}$ . By the above,  $[i_q]_{3^{n_0+1}}$  has a fixed point with the same value  $v_0$ , and evidently  $v_0 < \frac{(n_0+1)-1}{2} = \frac{n_0}{2}$ . Therefore z is a rooted fixed point for  $[i_q]_{3^{n_0+1}}$ , and by induction  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  has a rooted fixed point for all  $n \ge n_0$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 5.13 "Existence of 3-adic Fixed Points".** Let  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$ . Suppose z is a rooted fixed point for  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  for some n, and  $v_0 = v(z(z-1))$ . Then there exists a unique 3-adic fixed point  $z_q$  for  $\iota_q$ , and  $z_q \equiv z \pmod{3^{n-v_0-1}}$ . In particular,  $v(z_q(z_q-1)) = v_0$ .

Proof. We will construct a 3-adic fixed point  $z_q=\lim_{i\to\infty}z_i$ . Let  $z_{n-v_0-1}$  be the residue of  $z(\bmod 3^{n-v_0-1})$ . By Theorem 5.8,  $z_{n-v_0-1}$  is a rooted fixed point of  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$ . Thus  $z_{n-v_0-1}$  is the unique rooted fixed point for  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  between 1 and the period  $3^{n-v_0-1}$ . Set  $z_1=\cdots=z_{n-v_0-1}$ . As for all rooted fixed points,  $v(z_{n-v_0-1}(z_{n-v_0-1}-1))=v_0$ . By Lemma 5.12 there exists a uniquely defined number  $c\in\{0,1,2\}$  such that  $[\iota_q]_{3^{n+1}}$  fixes  $z_{n-v_0}:=z_{n-v_0-1}+c3^{n-v_0-1}$ . Note  $z_{n-v_0}\equiv z_{n-v_0-1}(\bmod 3^{n-v_0-1})$ , and  $z_{n-v_0}$  is between 1 and  $3^{n-v_0}$ . Since  $v_0< n-v_0-1$ , we have  $v(z_{n-v_0}(z_{n-v_0}-1))=v_0$ . In this way we may define numbers  $z_m$  inductively for all  $m\in\mathbb{N}$ , with the property that  $z_m$  is a fixed point for  $[\iota_q]_{3^{m+1+v_0}}, z_{m+1}\equiv z_m(\bmod 3^m)$ , and  $v(z_m(z_m-1))=v_0$ . If r>m then  $z_r-z_m\in 3^m\mathbb{Z}_3$ , hence we have a well defined 3-adic number  $z_q=\lim_{m\to\infty}z_m\in\mathbb{Z}_3$ , such that  $z_q\equiv z_m(\bmod 3^m)$ . By construction,  $z_q$  is a fixed point for  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  for all n, therefore  $z_q$  is a 3-adic fixed point for  $\iota_q$ , and  $\iota_q=z_{n-v_0-1}\equiv \iota_q(\bmod 3^{n-v_0-1})$ . In particular, since  $v_0<\frac{n-1}{2}< n-v_0-1$ ,  $v(z_q(z_q-1))=v(z(z-1))=v_0$ . This completes the proof.

Remark 5.14 By Theorem 5.8, the rooted fixed points z for  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  for a given  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$  are distinguished from the set of drifting modular fixed points by their lack of regularity: they are the only ones that do not repeat in intervals of  $3^{v(z(z-1))}$ . If, for example, z=4 is a rooted fixed point, then since v(4(4-1))=1, 4+3=7 is not. Thus if the fixed point set is observed to be regular, then there are no rooted fixed points. By Theorem 5.13 and its proof, we need the rooted fixed points to construct the 3-adic fixed point, via residues. Even then, the rooted fixed points for  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  only give us the 3-adic fixed point residues modulo  $3^{n-v_0-1}$ ; in general we cannot deduce them modulo  $3^n$ . On the other hand, if we know  $z_q$  is the 3-adic fixed point for q then we easily find all of the rooted fixed points for  $[i_q]_{3^n}$ , for sufficiently large n, by taking the residue of  $z_q$  modulo  $3^n$ , and adding to it all multiples of the corresponding period  $3^{n-v_0-1}q(z_q-1)$ .

We next aim to define a homeomorphism from  $3\mathbb{Z}_3 \cup (1+3\mathbb{Z}_3)$  to  $U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$  sending z to the unique q such that  $\iota_q(z) = z$ . If  $0 < v(z(z-1)) < \infty$ , then the assignment is well defined by Theorem 5.13. This leaves out z = 0 and z = 1, which are fixed by  $\iota_q$  for every  $q \in U^{(1)}$ . Nevertheless we will now show that there is a natural way to assign to them unique elements q.

**Lemma 5.15.** There exist unique elements  $q_0 \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$  and  $q_1 \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$  in  $U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$  such that  $\iota_{q_0}$  and  $\iota_{q_1}$  have no nontrivial 3-adic fixed points.

Proof. Fix  $n \geq 2$ . By Theorem 5.8, the  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$  for which  $[\iota_q]_{3^{2n-1}}$  has no rooted fixed points are exactly the q for which  $[\iota_q]_{3^{2n-1}}$  fixes either  $3^{n-1}$ , if  $q \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$ , or  $1 + 3^{n-1}$ , if  $q \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$ . By Theorem 5.8, together these are all of the q, with or without rooted fixed points, for which  $[\iota_q]_{3^{2n-1}}$  has a fixed point z with v(z(z-1)) = n-1. Since  $n-1 \leq (2n-1)-2=2n-3$ , we may apply Proposition 5.2, which says these q form the coset  $q_n+3^n\mathbb{Z}_3$ , where  $q_n=1+a_13+\cdots+a_{n-1}3^{n-1}$ ,  $a_1=2$  if  $q \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$ ,  $a_1=1$  if  $q \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$ , and the  $a_i \geq 2$  are uniquely determined elements of  $\{0,1,2\}$ .

It follows that the elements  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$  for which  $[i_q]_{3^{2n+1}}$  has no rooted fixed points form the coset  $q_{n+1} + 3^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}_3$ . We claim that  $q_{n+1} \equiv q_n \pmod{3^n}$ . If not then  $[i_{q_{n+1}}]_{3^{2n-1}}$  has a rooted fixed point, and by Propagation Lemma 5.12,  $[i_{q_{n+1}}]_{3^{2n+1}}$  would have one too. Thus  $q_{n+1} = q_n + a_n 3^n$ , for a unique  $a_n \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . In this way we define  $q_n$  for all  $n \geq 2$ . It is easily checked that for all r > n we have  $q_r \equiv q_n \pmod{3^n}$ , so  $v(q_r - q_n) \geq n$ , and we have a well defined 3-adic integer  $q = \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n$ . Since  $[i_{q_n}]_{3^{2n-1}}$  has no rooted fixed points, neither does  $[i_{q_n}]_{3^n}$  by Propagation Lemma 5.12, and since  $q \equiv q_n \pmod{3^n}$ , it follows that  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  has no fixed points, for all n. Therefore  $i_q$  has no 3-adic fixed points. Since  $a_1$  assumes the 2 values 1 and 2, and the  $a_i$  are uniquely determined for  $i \geq 2$ , there are exactly 2 of these q:  $q_0 \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$  and  $q_1 \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$ .

Remark 5.16. We can compute these numbers inductively. By Theorem 5.8, the  $[\iota_q]_{3^{2n-1}}$  that have no rooted fixed points are exactly those that fix  $z=3^{\lfloor\frac{2n-1}{2}\rfloor}=3^{n-1}$ , if  $q\equiv 7\pmod{9}$ , or  $z=1+3^{n-1}$ , if  $q\equiv 4\pmod{9}$ . Thus  $v_0=v(z(z-1))=n-1$ , and since  $(2n-1)-v_0=n$ , these q form the coset  $q_n+3^n\mathbb{Z}_3$ , where  $q_n=1+a_13+\cdots+a_{n-1}3^{n-1}$ . We then construct  $q_n$  inductively for  $n=2,3,\ldots$ . For example, if n=2, it is easy to see that  $q_2=1+2\cdot 3$ , i.e.,  $\iota_7(3)\equiv 3\pmod{3}$ . To find  $q_3$  we have to find the number  $a_2\in\{0,1,2\}$  such that for  $q_3=q_2+a_23^2$ ,  $[\iota_{q_3}]_{3^5}$  fixes  $3^2$ , and in general once  $q_n$  has been found, there are only three candidates to check to find  $q_{n+1}$  such that  $\iota_{q_{n+1}}(3^{2n+1})$  fixes  $3^{n-1}$ . Proceeding in this way, we compute

$$q_0 = 1 + 2 \cdot 3 + 3^2 + 3^6 + 2 \cdot 3^7 + \cdots$$
  
 $q_1 = 1 + 3 + 2 \cdot 3^2 + 2 \cdot 3^4 + 3^5 + 3^7 + \cdots$ 

Note that the length of these computations grows exponentially. For example, to find the 7-th coefficient of  $q_0$ , we have to make  $3^7 = 2187$  computations modulo  $3^{15} = 14,348,907$ ; the number  $i_q(7)$  already exceed  $3^{15}$ .

# 6. Underlying Homeomorphisms and Isometries.

**Theorem 6.1.** Suppose  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$ . There exists a canonical homeomorphism

$$\Psi: 3\mathbb{Z}_3 \cup (1+3\mathbb{Z}_3) \longrightarrow U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$$

defined by  $\Psi(0) = q_0$ ,  $\Psi(1) = q_1$ , where  $q_0$  and  $q_1$  are the elements of Lemma 5.15, and by  $\Psi(z) = q$  for all  $z \in 3\mathbb{Z}_3 \cup (1+3\mathbb{Z}_3) - \{0,1\}$ , where  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$  is the unique element such that  $\iota_q(z) = z$ .

*Proof.* To show  $\Psi$  is well defined it remains to show every element  $z \in 3\mathbb{Z}_3 \cup (1+3\mathbb{Z}_3) - \{0,1\}$  is a 3-adic fixed point for some uniquely defined q. By Theorem 5.13 it suffices to show z is a rooted

fixed point for some  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$ , and since  $1 \leq v(z(z-1)) < \infty$ , this is immediate by "Existence of q" Proposition 5.2, with  $n > 2 \cdot v(z(z-1)) + 1$ . Since q is uniquely defined,  $\Psi$  is injective.

We show the image of  $\Psi$  is dense in  $U^{(1)}-U^{(2)}$ . To prove this it suffices to show that for every  $q_r=1+a_13+\cdots+a_{r-1}3^{r-1}\in U^{(1)}-U^{(2)}$  with finite 3-adic expansion, there exists a  $q\in U^{(1)}-U^{(2)}$  in the image of  $\Psi$ , such that  $q\equiv q_r(\text{mod }3^r)$ . For since any  $q\in U^{(1)}-U^{(2)}$  may be approximated to arbitrary precision with a  $q_r$  of finite 3-adic expansion, this would show any q may be approximated to arbitrary precision with one that has a 3-adic fixed point. Suppose by way of contradiction that  $q_r$  is a counterexample, i.e.,  $\iota_q$  has no nontrivial 3-adic fixed points for any  $q\in U^{(1)}-U^{(2)}$  such that  $q\equiv q_r(\text{mod }3^r)$ . By Theorem 5.13, for these q,  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  has no rooted fixed points z for any n. But an easy count shows the number of q of length  $n\geq r$  extending  $q_r$  is  $3^{n-r}$ , therefore we have at least  $3^{n-r}$  elements  $q\in U^{(1)}-U^{(2)}$  for which  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  has no rooted fixed points. On the other hand, by Lemma 5.9, the number of generators  $q\in U^{(1)}/U^{(n)}$  such that  $q\equiv q_r(\text{mod }9)$  and  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  has no rooted fixed points is  $3^{\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\rfloor}$ . For large enough n, this number is smaller than  $3^{n-r}$ ; this occurs, for example, if n>2r. Thus we have a contradiction, and we conclude the image of  $\Psi$  is dense in  $U^{(1)}-U^{(2)}$ .

Next we show that  $\Psi$  is continuous. First suppose  $z \neq 0, 1$  and  $\Psi(z) = q$ ; we'll show  $\Psi$  is continuous at z. Let  $v_0 = v(z(z-1))$ , then  $1 \leq v_0 < \infty$ . Fix any  $N \geq v_0 + 2$ . Since the function  $\iota_q$  – id is continuous, there exists a number  $n_0$  such that whenever  $v(z-z') \geq n_0$  we have  $v(\iota_q(z) - z - (\iota_q(z') - z')) \geq N + v_0$ . Since  $\iota_q(z) = z$ , this implies  $\iota_q(z') \equiv z' \pmod{3^{N+v_0}}$ , hence z and z' are both modular fixed points for  $[\iota_q]_{3^{N+v_0}}$ . If  $q' = \Psi(z')$ , then since  $1 \leq v_0 < \infty$ ,  $q \equiv q' \pmod{3^N}$  by Proposition 5.2. Therefore  $v(z-z') \geq n_0$  implies  $v(q-q') \geq N$ , and it follows that  $\Psi$  is continuous at  $z \neq 0, 1$ .

To show  $\Psi$  is continuous at 0 and 1, suppose  $z \in \{0,1\}$ , and let  $q = \Psi(z)$ . Fix N >> 0. Suppose  $z' \neq 0, 1$ , and  $q' = \Psi(z')$ . If  $v(z-z') \geq N-1$  then since z equals 0 or 1 we have  $v(z'(z'-1)) \geq N-1$ , and it follows by Theorem 5.13 that  $[\imath_{q'}]_{3^{2N-1}}$  has no rooted fixed points: any rooted fixed points  $z_0$  for  $[\imath_{q'}]_{3^{2N-1}}$  must satisfy  $v(z_0(z_0-1)) < \frac{(2N-1)-1}{2} = N-1$  by definition, and by Theorem 5.13, z' then satisfies  $v(z'(z'-1)) = v(z_0(z_0-1))$ . Since neither  $[\imath_q]_{3^{2N-1}}$  or  $[\imath_{q'}]_{3^{2N-1}}$  have rooted fixed points, they both fix  $3^{\lfloor \frac{2N-1}{2} \rfloor} = 3^{N-1}$ , if  $q \equiv 7 \pmod 9$ , or  $1+3^{\lfloor \frac{2N-1}{2} \rfloor} = 3^{N-1}$ , if  $q \equiv 4 \pmod 9$ . By Proposition 5.2 we have  $q \equiv q' \pmod 3^{(2N-1)-(N-1)}$ , i.e.,  $v(q-q') \geq N$ . Thus  $v(z-z') \geq N-1$  implies  $v(q-q') \geq N$ . Therefore  $\Psi$  is continuous at  $z \in \{0,1\}$ . We conclude that  $\Psi$  is continuous.

Since  $3\mathbb{Z}_3 \cup (1+3\mathbb{Z}_3)$  is compact,  $\Psi$  is a closed map, in particular its image is closed. Since its image is also dense,  $\Psi$  is surjective. Since  $\Psi$  is a continuous bijection that takes closed sets to closed sets, its inverse is continuous. Therefore  $\Psi$  is a homeomorphism.

**Definition 6.2.** Define  $\Phi = \Psi^{-1} : U^{(1)} - U^{(2)} \longrightarrow 3\mathbb{Z}_3 \cup (1+3\mathbb{Z}_3)$ , the inverse of the map  $\Psi$  of Theorem 6.1, by assigning to each q its 3-adic fixed point.

**Summary 6.3.** The situation can be summarized as follows. Any action of the additive group  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is defined by 1\*1=q for some  $q\in U^{(1)}$ . The canonical 1-cocycle  $\iota_q$  has a nontrivial p-adic fixed point if and only if p=3,  $q\in U^{(1)}-U^{(2)}$ , and  $q\notin \{q_0,q_1\}$ , the distinguished 3-adic integers of Lemma 5.15. For these q we have a unique  $z_q\in\mathbb{Z}_3$ , namely  $\Phi(q)$ .

We will explain how this fixed point governs the structure of the modular fixed point sets for  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  for all n. The fixed point  $z_q$  satisfies  $z_q \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod 3$ , depending on whether  $q \equiv 7$  or  $4 \pmod 9$ , respectively. Thus we have  $v_0 := v(z_q(z_q-1)) \ge 1$ . The modular fixed point set for  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  is now given explicitly by Theorem 5.8: If  $v_0 \ge \frac{n-1}{2}$  and  $q \equiv 7 \pmod 9$  then it is  $3^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \mathbb{Z}_3 \cup (1+3^{n-1}\mathbb{Z}_3)$ . In this case the 3-adic fixed point  $z_q$  does not manifest, in the sense that

there is no way to detect its 3-value from the fixed point set, which has the same structure as the modular fixed point set of any other  $z_q$  with  $v_0 \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$ . If  $v_0 < \frac{n-1}{2}$  and  $q \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$  then  $z_q$  appears as a "rooted" fixed point  $z_0$  that satisfies  $v(z_0(z_0-1))=v_0$ , and  $z_q \equiv z_0 \pmod{3^{n-v_0-1}}$ . Moreover,  $z_0$  appears with "period"  $\tau=3^{n-v_0-1}$ , meaning that  $z_0+c\tau$  is a fixed point for  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$  for all  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ . Additionally, the numbers  $c\tau$  themselves are modular fixed points for  $[\iota_q]_{3^n}$ , for all  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ . Aside from these fixed points, which are "caused" by the 3-adic fixed point  $z_q$ , there are the standard modular fixed points  $1+3^{n-1}\mathbb{Z}_3$ , which appear for every prime p and  $q \in U^{(1)}$ . If  $q \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$ , then the modular fixed point sets for various  $3^n$  have the same broad characteristics as for the  $q \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$  case, except that everything is "shifted" by 1, as indicated in Theorem 5.8.

**Corollary 6.4.** Suppose  $q \in U^{(1)}$ , for general p. Then the number of fixed points for  $[i_q]_{p^n}$  is asymptotically stable for all q and all p, except when p = 3 and  $q = q_0$  or  $q = q_1$ , in which case the number grows without bound as n goes to infinity.

Proof. We use "asymptotically stable" to mean the number is constant for large enough n. Corollary 5.10 shows that the number of fixed points is asymptotically stable when  $p \neq 3$  or  $q \in U^{(2)}$ . If p = 3,  $q \in U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$ , and  $q \neq q_0, q_1$  then by Theorem 6.1,  $i_q$  has a nontrivial 3-adic fixed point  $z_0$ , and for large enough n this becomes a rooted fixed point for  $[i_q]_{3^n}$ . Explicitly, if  $v_0 = v(z_0(z_0-1))$ , then as soon as  $v_0 < \frac{n-1}{2}$ ,  $z_0$  is a rooted fixed point. Thus the number of modular fixed points is asymptotically stable in this case. When  $q = q_0$  or  $q = q_1$  there is no nontrivial 3-adic fixed point, hence no rooted fixed points for  $[i_q]_{3^n}$  for all n. Case 4 of Corollary 5.10 then shows the number grows without bound as n goes to infinity.

The most accessible values of q are positive integers, and the most accessible values of  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  are rational numbers. We have found exactly one case where there is a rational 3-adic fixed point for  $i_q$  when q is an integer.

**Proposition 6.5.**  $\Phi(4) = -1/2$ .

*Proof.* Since  $4 \in U^{(1)}$  we have  $4^{-1/2} \in U^{(1)}$ , and we see immediately that  $4^{-1/2} = -1/2$ . Therefore  $\iota_4(-1/2) = (4^{-1/2} - 1)/(4 - 1) = (-3/2)/3 = -1/2$ , hence  $\Phi(4) = -1/2$ , as desired.

We discover two isometries underlying the map  $\Phi: U^{(1)} - U^{(2)} \to 3\mathbb{Z}_3 \cup (1+3\mathbb{Z}_3)$ . The set  $U^{(1)} - U^{(2)}$  is the union of the two cosets of the group  $9\mathbb{Z}_3$ ,

$$U^{(1)} - U^{(2)} = (4 + 9\mathbb{Z}_3) \cup (7 + 9\mathbb{Z}_3),$$

and by Corollary 5.4,  $\Phi$  takes  $4+9\mathbb{Z}_3$  onto  $1+3\mathbb{Z}_3$ , and  $7+9\mathbb{Z}_3$  onto  $3\mathbb{Z}_3$ . Let  $f_1: \mathbb{Z}_3 \xrightarrow{\sim} 4+9\mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $g_1: \mathbb{Z}_3 \xrightarrow{\sim} 7+9\mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $f_2: 1+3\mathbb{Z}_3 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_3$ , and  $g_2: 3\mathbb{Z}_3 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_3$  be the obvious topological isomorphisms. Define

$$F: \mathbb{Z}_3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3, \qquad G: \mathbb{Z}_3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3$$

to be the compositions  $F = f_2 \cdot \Phi \cdot f_1$  and  $G = g_2 \cdot \Phi \cdot g_1$ .

**Theorem 6.6.** The functions F and G are isometries.

Proof. We'll show v(F(x) - F(x')) = v(x - x') for all  $x, x' \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ ; the proof for G is similar. Choose  $x, x' \in \mathbb{Z}_3$  such that, in the above notation,  $q = f_1(x)$  and  $q' = f_1(x')$  avoid the distinguished element  $q_0$ . We have seen that  $z_q = \Phi(q)$  and  $z_{q'} = \Phi(q')$  are elements of  $1 + 3\mathbb{Z}_3$ . Set  $v_0 = v(z_q - 1)$  and  $v'_0 = v(z_{q'} - 1)$ , then  $1 \le v_0, v'_0 < \infty$ . Let  $n > 2v_0 + 1$ . Then

 $1 \le v_0 \le n-2$ , and by Proposition 5.2, if  $q \equiv q' \pmod{3^{n-v_0}}$  then  $\iota_{q'}(z_q) \equiv z_q \pmod{3^n}$ . Since  $n > 2v_0+1$ , by Definition 5.6,  $z_q$  is a rooted fixed point of  $[\iota_{q'}]_{3^n}$ . By Theorem 5.13,  $v_0 = v'_0$ , and  $z_{q'}$  is also a rooted fixed point of  $[\iota_{q'}]_{3^n}$ . By Theorem 5.8,  $z_q \equiv z_{q'} \pmod{3^{n-v_0-1}}$ . Conversely, if  $z_q \equiv z_{q'} \pmod{3^{n-v_0-1}}$ , then by Theorem 5.8,  $z_{q'}$  is a rooted fixed point of  $\iota_q$ , and by Theorem 5.13,  $v_0 = v'_0$ . Since  $z_{q'}$  is a fixed point of  $\iota_{q'}$ , we have  $q \equiv q' \pmod{3^{n-v'_0}}$  by Proposition 5.2, hence  $q \equiv q' \pmod{3^{n-v_0}}$ .

Thus  $q \equiv q' \pmod{3^{n-v_0}}$  if and only if  $z_q \equiv z_{q'} \pmod{3^{n-v_0-1}}$ . Equivalently,  $\frac{1}{9}(q-4) \equiv \frac{1}{9}(q'-4) \pmod{3^{n-v_0-2}}$  if and only if  $\frac{1}{3}(z_q-1) \equiv \frac{1}{3}(z_{q'}-1) \pmod{3^{n-v_0-2}}$ . That is, by definition, for all  $m \geq v_0$ ,  $x \equiv x' \pmod{3^m}$  if and only if  $F(x) \equiv F(x') \pmod{3^m}$ . Thus v(x-x') = v(F(x)-F(x')).

We have shown that F is an isometry on the punctured disk  $\mathbb{Z}_3 - \{x_0\}$ , where  $x_0 = f_1^{-1}(q_0)$ . By definition F is a homeomorphism on the whole disk, as the composition of the homeomorphisms  $f_1, \Phi$ , and  $f_2$ . It follows by the continuity of the 3-adic metric that F is an isometry on all of  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ . For if  $x_0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n$ , where  $s_n \in \mathbb{Z}_3 - \{x_0\}$ , then  $v(x - x_0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} v(x - s_n)$ , hence  $v(F(x) - F(x_0)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} v(F(x) - F(s_n))$  since F is an isometry on the punctured disk, hence  $v(F(x) - F(x_0)) = v(F(x) - F(x_0))$ , as desired. This completes the proof.

# 7. Examples.

We work some examples for p=3 and q=4. We have noted already that the 3-adic fixed point for  $i_4$  is  $\Phi(4)=-1/2=1+3+3^2+\cdots$ . By Theorem 4.2, we always have the modular fixed point pairs  $c3^{n-1}$  and  $1+c3^{n-1}$ . The 3-adic fixed point -1/2 determines all remaining modular fixed points as follows. The associated value is  $v_0=v(-1/2(-3/2))=1$ , so we expect to see rooted fixed points for  $i_4 \pmod{3^n}$  for  $n>2v_0+1=3$ , i.e., starting with  $i_4 \pmod{3^4}$ . The period for  $3^n$  is  $3^{n-v_0-1}=3^{n-2}$ , so since  $4\equiv 4 \pmod{9}$ , by Theorem 5.8,  $1+c3^{n-2}$  is fixed for all  $c\in\mathbb{N}$ , and then there will be rooted fixed points determined by the residue of  $-1/2 \pmod{3^{n-2}}$ , added to the multiples of  $3^{n-2}$ .

Here is the sequence of values  $\iota_4(z) \pmod{3^4}$  from z = 0 to  $z = 3^4 + 1 = 82$ .

0	1	5	21	4	17	69	34	56	63	10	41	3	13	53	51	43	11
									27								
9	37	68	30	40	80	78	70	38	72	46	23	12	49	35	60	79	74
54												57	67	26	24	16	65
18	73	50	39	76	62	6	25	20	0	1							

The fixed point pairs are  $c3^3$  and  $1+c3^3$ , for c=0,1,2. The rooted fixed points are  $\{4,13,22,31,\ldots\}$ , and the period is  $3^{4-v_0-1}=3^2=9$ . Note there are exactly  $2\cdot 3^{v_0+1}+3=21$  fixed points modulo  $3^4$ , as required by Corollary 5.10. To contrast, we list the values of  $\iota_4 \pmod{3^5}$ . To detect the pattern we only need list  $\iota_4(z) \pmod{3^5}$  from z=0 to z equals 1 plus the period, i.e.,  $z=1+3^{5-v_0-1}=28$ .

0	1	5	21	85	98	150	115	218	144	91	122	3	13	53	213	124	11
45	181	239	228	184	8	33	133	47	189	28	113	210	112	206	96	142	83
90	118	230	192	40	161	159	151	119	234	208	104	174	211	116	222	160	155
135	55	221	156	189	71	42	169	191	36	145	95	138	67	26	105	178	227
180	235	212	120	238	224	168	187	20	81	82	86	102	166	179	231	196	56
225	172	203	84	94	134	51	205	92	126	19	77	66	22	89	114	214	128
27	109	194	43	193	44	177	223	164	171	199	68	30	121	242	240	232	200
72	46	185	12	49	197	60	241	236	216	136	59	237					

In addition to the fixed point pairs, the other fixed points will be 27 + 13 = 40,  $1 + 2 \cdot 27 = 55$ ,  $2 \cdot 27 + 13 = 67$ , etc. Here are the values of  $i_4 \pmod{3^6}$ , up to 1 plus the period  $3^4$ .

. . .

### References.

- [A] Arens, R.: Homeomorphisms preserving measure in a group, Ann. of Math., **60**, no. 3, (1954), pp. 454–457.
- [B] Bishop, E.: Isometries of the p-adic numbers, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 8 (1993), no. 1-2, 1-5.
- [C] Conrad, K.: A q-analogue of Mahler expansions. I, Adv. Math. 153 (2000), no. 2, 185–230.
- [D] Dieudonné, J.: Sur les fonctions continues p-adiques, Bull. Sci. Math. (2)  $\mathbf{68}$  (1944), 79-95
- [F] Fray, R. D.: Congruence properties of ordinary and q-binomial coefficients, Duke Math. J. 34 (1967), 467–480.
- [J] Jackson, F. H.: q-difference equations, Amer. J. Math. 32 (1910), 305–314.
- [G] Granville, A.: http://www.dms.umontreal.ca/~andrew/Binomial/elementary.html
- [M] Mahler, K.: An interpolation series for continuous functions of a p-adic variable, J. Reine Angew. Math. 199 (1958) 23–34.
- [N] Neukirch, J.: Algebraic Number Theory, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 322, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [S] Serre, J.-P.: A Course in Arithmetic, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
- [Su] Sushchanskii, V. I.: Standard subgroups of the isometry group of the metric space of p-adic integers, Visnik Kiiv. Uniiv. Ser. Mat. Mekh. 117 no. 30 (1988), 100–107.